ISSN Versión Impresa 2218-6425 ISSN Versión Electrónica 1995-1403

Neotropical Helminthology, 2026, vol. 20(1), 75-87

Neotropical Helminthology



ORIGINAL ARTICLE / ARTÍCULO ORIGINAL

PARASITES OF ELASMOBRANCHS FROM THE SOUTHERN GULF OF MEXICO: THE BULL SHARK *CARCHARHINUS LEUCAS* (MÜLLER & HENLE, 1839) AND THE SOUTHERN STINGRAY *HYPANUS AMERICANUS* (HILDEBRAND & SCHROEDER, 1928)

PARÁSITOS DE ELASMOBRANQUIOS DEL SUR DEL GOLFO DE MÉXICO: EL TIBURÓN TORO *CARCHARHINUS LEUCAS* (MÜLLER & HENLE, 1839) Y LA RAYA LÁTIGO *HYPANUS AMERICANUS* (HILDEBRAND & SCHROEDER, 1928)

María Amparo Rodríguez-Santiago[1,2,3,4,5*], María. Lilibeth Cupil-Ruíz[6], Yassir Edén Torres-Rojas[7], Enrique Ávila[1,4], José Iannacone[4,5], Ana Mass-Cel[7]; Celso Rubén Canche-Tun[6], Lilia C. Soler-Jiménez[8,9] & Deysi Medrano Domínguez[6]

[1] Instituto de Ciencias del Mar y Limnología, Estación "El Carmen", Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Ciudad del Carmen, Campeche, México.
[2] Secretaría de Ciencia, Humanidades, Tecnología e Innovación (SECIHTI), Ciudad de México, México.
[3] Grupo de Investigación "One Health", Laboratorio de Zoología, Facultad de Ciencias Biológicas, Universidad, Ricardo Palma, Lima, Perú.
[4] Grupo de Investigación en Sostenibilidad Ambiental (GISA), Facultad de Ciencias Naturales y Matemática, Universidad Nacional Federico Villarreal, Lima, Perú.
[5] Nucleo Académico Básico, Centro de Investigación de Ciencias Ambientales, Universidad Autónoma del Carmen, Facultad de Ciencias Naturales, Ciudad del Carmen, Campeche, México.
[6] Posgrado en Restauración Ecológica, Centro de Investigación de Ciencias Ambientales, Facultad de Ciencias Naturales, Universidad Autónoma del Carmen (UNACAR), Ciudad del Carmen, Campeche, México.
[7] Instituto EPOMEX de la Universidad Autónoma de Campeche. San Francisco de Campeche, Campeche. México.
[8] Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados (CINVESTAV), Unidad Mérida. México.
[9] Instituto Mexicano de Investigación en Pesca y Acuacultura Sustentables IMIPAS.
[*] Corresponding author: marodriguezsa@secihti.mx

María Amparo Rodríguez-Santiago: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0616-237X
María Lilibeth Cupil-Ruíz: https://orcid.org/0009-0001-2790-1605
Yassir Edén Torres Rojas: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9452-5224
Enrique Ávila https://orcid.org/30000-0001-7074-1603
José Iannacone: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3699-4732
Celso Rubén Canche-Tun: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5118-5058
Lilia Catherinne Soler-Jiménez: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6784-7623
Deysi Medrano Domínguez: https://orcid.org/0009-0001-4668-7966

DOI: https://doi.org/10.62429/rnh20261202059

ABSTRACT

Elasmobranchs play an essential ecological role as top predators in marine ecosystems, and parasitological studies allow the assessment of both host health and the environmental conditions they inhabit. This study analyzed the parasitic diversity of two representative species from the southern coast of the Gulf of Mexico: the bull shark *Carcharhinus leucas* (Müller & Henle, 1839), captured at several fishing localities in the states of Campeche and Tabasco (Seybaplaya, Champotón, Ciudad del Carmen, and Barra de San Pedro), and the southern stingray *Hypanus americanus* (Hildebrand & Schroeder, 1928), collected in Seybaplaya, Campeche. In *C. leucas*, all ten examined specimens showed a 100% prevalence of parasitic infection, with a total of 175 individuals belonging to the groups Monogenea, Cestoda, Nematoda, and Copepoda. The identified taxa were *Nesippus orientalis* (Heller, 1865), *Nemesis* sp. (Risso, 1826), *Paralebion elongatus* (Wilson, 1911), *Erpocotyle carcharhini* (Watson & Thorson, 1976), *Granulinema carcharhini* (Moravec & Little, 1988), and *Nybelinia* sp. (Poche, 1926), with cestodes being the most abundant group (64.57%). In 14 examined specimens of *H. americanus*, a total of 1,775 endoparasites were recorded (953 in males and 822 in females), belonging to the groups Cestoda and Nematoda. In this species, the genera *Acanthobothrium* (Van Beneden, 1849), *Nybelinia* (Poche, 1926), *Oncomegas* (Dollfus, 1929), *Pterobothrium* (Diesing, 1850), *Phyllobothrium* (Van Beneden, 1850), *Grillotia* (Guiart, 1927), and *Anisakis* (Dujardin, 1845) were identified. *Oncomegas* showed the highest prevalence (100%), and *Phyllobothrium* the lowest (7.14%), and *Grillotia* is reported for the first time in *H. americanus* from the Gulf of Mexico. Overall, the results reveal a high parasitic diversity dominated by cestodes, which may reflect alterations in coastal habitats. This study provides new parasite records and reinforces the value of parasitology as a tool for understanding biodiversity and assessing the ecological status of elasmobranchs from the southern Gulf of Mexico.

Keywords: Elasmobranchs – *Carcharhinus leucas* – *Hypanus americanus* – Parasitic diversity – Cestodes – Nematodes – Monogeneans – Copepods – Gulf of Mexico

RESUMEN

Los elasmobranquios desempeñan un papel ecológico esencial como depredadores tope en los ecosistemas marinos, y los estudios parasitológicos permiten evaluar tanto la salud de los hospederos como las condiciones ambientales que habitan. En este estudio se analizó la diversidad parasitaria de dos especies representativas de la costa sur del Golfo de México: el tiburón toro *Carcharhinus leucas* (Müller & Henle, 1839), capturado en diversas localidades pesqueras de los estados de Campeche y Tabasco (Seybaplaya, Champotón, Ciudad del Carmen y Barra de San Pedro), y la raya látigo *Hypanus americanus* (Hildebrand & Schroeder, 1928), colectada en Seybaplaya, Campeche. En *C. leucas*, los diez ejemplares examinados presentaron una prevalencia del 100% de infección parasitaria, con un total de 175 individuos pertenecientes a los grupos Monogenea, Cestoda, Nematoda y Copepoda. Los taxones identificados fueron *Nesippus orientalis* (Heller, 1865), *Nemesis* sp. (Risso, 1826), *Paralebion elongatus* (Wilson, 1911), *Erpocotyle carcharhini* (Watson & Thorson, 1976), *Granulinema carcharhini* (Moravec & Little, 1988) y *Nybelinia* sp. (Poche, 1926), siendo los cestodos el grupo más abundante (64.57%). En 14 ejemplares examinados de *H. americanus* se registraron un total de 1,775 endoparásitos (953 en machos y 822 en hembras), pertenecientes a los grupos Cestoda y Nematoda. En esta especie se identificaron los géneros *Acanthobothrium* (Van Beneden, 1849), *Nybelinia* (Poche, 1926), *Oncomegas* (Dollfus, 1929), *Pterobothrium* (Diesing, 1850), *Phyllobothrium* (Van Beneden, 1850), *Grillotia* (Guiart, 1927) y *Anisakis* (Dujardin, 1845). *Oncomegas* presentó la mayor prevalencia (100%) y *Phyllobothrium* la menor (7.14%); además, *Grillotia* se reporta por primera vez en *H. americanus* para el Golfo de México. En conjunto, los resultados evidencian una alta diversidad parasitaria dominada por cestodos, lo cual podría reflejar alteraciones en los hábitats costeros. Este estudio aporta nuevos registros de parásitos y refuerza el valor de la parasitología como herramienta para comprender la biodiversidad y evaluar el estado ecológico de los elasmobranquios del sur del Golfo de México.

Palabras clave: *Carcharhinus leucas* – Céstodos – Copépodos – Diversidad parasitaria – Elasmobranquios – Golfo de México – *Hypanus americanus* – Monogéneos – Nemátodos

INTRODUCTION

Elasmobranchs have a wide distribution in tropical and subtropical seas worldwide. In coastal environments they can be found in estuaries, shallow freshwater streams, and coastal lagoon systems (Compagno, 1984). In the Mexican region of the Gulf of Mexico, there is a high diversity of sharks and rays, with more than 80 species recorded, of which approximately 20 have commercial importance. Along the coast of Campeche (Mexico), artisanal fisheries report elasmobranchs among the most abundant resources, particularly shark species such as *Rhizoprionodon terraenovae* (Richardson, 1836) and *Sphyrna tiburo* (Linnaeus 1758), which together represent 88% of shark landings in the state (Martínez-Cruz *et al.*, 2011, 2012).

Within this group, the bull shark *Carcharhinus leucas* (Müller & Henle, 1839) and the southern stingray *Hypanus americanus* (Hildebrand & Schroeder, 1928) are species of ecological and economic relevance. The bull shark is a diadromous fish with a broad distribution in tropical and subtropical continental coasts worldwide, including coastal areas, estuaries, and lacustrine systems. It is commercially exploited along the Gulf of Mexico coastline, where it contributes approximately 2% of total shark landings (Bravo-Zavala *et al.*, 2022). *H. americanus* is distributed in the western Atlantic Ocean, from New Jersey (USA) to southern Brazil, and is one of the most abundant ray species in the elasmobranch fisheries of the southern Gulf of Mexico. This species primarily inhabits shallow coastal waters, bays, and seagrass meadows (FishBase, 2022). In recent years, its population has shown an estimated decline of 20–29% over the last three generations and is currently considered "Near Threatened" (Carlson *et al.*, 2020).

Over recent decades, coastal fisheries of the Gulf of Mexico have been affected by overexploitation, pollution, habitat modification, and other factors that compromise population health (Vidal-Martínez *et al.*, 2015; Morales-Serna *et al.*, 2019). In this context, the study of parasites associated with elasmobranchs represents a useful tool for assessing the ecological status of marine ecosystems, as parasites can act as bioindicators of environmental disturbances (Sures *et al.*, 2017). Sharks and rays, by occupying high trophic levels, provide an exceptional habitat for a wide diversity of parasitic fauna, particularly intestinal helminths such as cestodes (Rodríguez-Santiago *et al.*, 2019, Kleinertz et al., 2022).

In the case of *C. leucas*, more than 50 parasite species have been recorded worldwide (Rodríguez-Santiago *et al.*, 2019, Solano-Barquero *et al.*, 2023). However, local studies are scarce, and most have focused on endoparasites, leaving the ectoparasitic fauna poorly documented despite recent reports of parasitic copepods in sharks from the Gulf of Mexico (Rodríguez-Santiago *et al.*, 2015; Rodríguez-Santiago *et al.*, 2016). Similarly, for *H. americanus*, parasitological knowledge remains limited despite its ecological and fishery relevance (Méndez & Galván-Magaña, 2016; Merlo-Serna & García-Prieto, 2016; Pozos-Carré *et al.*, 2020; Rodríguez-Santiago *et al.*, 2019).

Given this scenario, the aim of the present study is to report the parasitic fauna recorded in two elasmobranch species commonly captured along the southern coast of the Gulf of Mexico: the bull shark (*C. leucas*), sampled in localities of Campeche and Tabasco (Seybaplaya, Champotón, Ciudad del Carmen, and Barra de San Pedro), and the southern stingray (*H. americanus*), collected in Seybaplaya, Campeche. This study contributes to the knowledge of parasitological biodiversity in the region, as well as to the ecological understanding of the host species and their marine environment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area

The habitats of the southern Gulf of Mexico encompass a wide variety of coastal ecosystems, including lagoons, estuaries, dunes, mangroves, seagrass beds, and coral reefs, which support more than one thousand fish species. This region is part of the marine ecoregion known as the Southern Gulf of Mexico, a semi-enclosed basin influenced by tropical currents that includes the waters off the states of Veracruz, Tabasco, Campeche, and Yucatán (Wilkinson *et al.*, 2009). The economy of this zone depends largely on three main activities: oil and gas extraction, fisheries, and tourism.

For the present study, four representative localities along the southern coast of the Gulf of Mexico were selected: Seybaplaya (19° 38′ 02″ N, 90° 41′ 02″ W), Champotón (19° 21′ N, 90° 43′ W), and Ciudad del Carmen (18° 38′ 32″ N, 91° 50′ 29″ W) in the state of Campeche, and Barra de San Pedro (18° 39′ 30″ N, 92° 28′ 12″ W) in the state of Tabasco (Fig. 1). Sampling of *C. leucas* was conducted in all four localities, whereas specimens of *H. americanus* were collected exclusively in the fishing zone of Seybaplaya, Campeche.



Figure 1. Geographic location of the four sampling sites along the southern coast of the Gulf of Mexico: Seybaplaya, Champotón, Ciudad del Carmen, and Barra de San Pedro. The inset shows the position of the study area within the Mexican Republic.

Host collection

***Carcharhinus leucas* (bull shark)**

Two sampling campaigns were conducted during two collection periods: the first between February and April, and the second between September and November of 2014. A total of 10 specimens were obtained from four localities in the states of Campeche and Tabasco, with the support of local fishing cooperatives and fish vendors from the municipal market of Ciudad del Carmen. Specimens were identified using the field guides of SAGARPA (2008), recording both males and females of different sizes.

For each individual, morphometric data—including total length (cm) and body weight (kg)—were recorded. Dissections were performed to remove the gills and spiral valve for parasitological examination. These organs were placed in properly labeled plastic bags and preserved in 70% ethanol for storage and transport to the Environmental Parasitology Laboratory at the Environmental Sciences Research Center of the Universidad Autónoma del Carmen (UNACAR) in Ciudad del Carmen, Campeche, Mexico.

***Hypanus americanus* (southern stingray)**

Specimens were obtained from the locality of Seybaplaya, Campeche, during two sampling events conducted in August 2020 and April 2021. Individuals were identified using the key of Mejía-Falla *et al.* (2011). For each specimen, morphometric data such as disk length and width (cm) were recorded (Fig. 2), and dissections were performed to remove the gills and spiral valve for parasitological examination. These organs were placed in properly labeled plastic bags, fixed in 70% ethanol, and kept under cold conditions in a portable cooler for transport to the Environmental Parasitology Laboratory.

Processing of biological samples

Parasitological analyses were conducted following the procedures described by Guzmán-Cornejo *et al.* (2012).



Figure 2. Flowchart illustrating the parasitological procedure divided into three phases. Phase I (Field) includes the capture of individuals, morphometric measurements, and weighing. Phase II (Laboratory) involves the dissection of internal organs, stereomicroscopic examination for parasite detection, staining and clearing procedures, and the dissection and mounting of copepods, followed by taxonomic identification. Phase III (Cabinet) corresponds to data analysis and final processing.

Parasite identification

Parasite identification was conducted through detailed observation of the characteristic morphological structures of each specimen, with emphasis on diagnostic features. Taxonomic determination was carried out to the levels of family, genus, and species through comparison with previously published morphological descriptions, the

use of specialized taxonomic keys, and relevant scientific literature for each metazoan parasite group (Yamaguti, 1959, 1961, 1963, 1971; Anderson *et al.* 1974; Anderson 1988, 1994; Khalil *et al.*, 1994; Walter & Boxshall, 2008, among others). Graphic illustrations of each identified genus were produced using CorelDraw X8, once the helminths had been identified. Two observation techniques were employed: (1) staining using Meyer's paracarmine method (Salgado-Maldonado, 1979) for monogeneans and cestodes, and (2) the technique proposed by Moravec *et al.* (1993) for nematodes and copepods, which involves gradual glycerine solutions to clear the cuticle and allow visualization of internal taxonomic structures of interest (Fig. 2).

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

For ultrastructural analysis, selected representative specimens of the recovered parasites were processed for scanning electron microscopy. Samples were fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde in phosphate buffer, dehydrated through a graded ethanol series, subjected to critical point drying, and subsequently coated with a gold–palladium layer. Observations were carried out using a scanning electron microscope at the facilities of CINVESTAV, Mérida, México.

Data analysis

The characterization of the parasitic communities of *C. leucas* and *H. americanus* was performed following the quantitative ecological descriptors proposed by Bush *et al.* (1997), including prevalence, mean abundance, and mean intensity.

Ethical aspects

All specimens analyzed in this study were obtained from artisanal fisheries and local markets, and no organisms were captured or sacrificed exclusively for research purposes. The collection and handling of hosts complied with national regulations and ethical guidelines for the use of animals in scientific research. Parasitological examinations were conducted following established protocols to minimize unnecessary handling of biological material.

RESULTS

A total of 10 specimens of bull shark (*C. leucas*) were examined: six individuals from Champotón, one from Seybaplaya, one from Ciudad del Carmen, and two from Barra de San Pedro. The mean (± standard deviation) total length of these individuals was 132.37 ± 55.67 cm, and mean body weight was 69.6 ± 30.32 kg. A total of 175 parasites were recorded, corresponding to six parasitic species: one cestode (*Nybelinia* sp.; Fig. 3. A1), one monogenean (*Erpocotyle carcharhini* (Watson & Thorson, 1976) (Fig. 3. A2), one nematode (*Granulinema carcharhini* (Moravec & Little, 1988)) (Fig. 4. B1), and three copepods (*Nemesis* sp., (Fig. 3. A4) *Nesippus orientalis* (Heller, 1865) (Fig. 4. B6), and *Paralebion elongatus* (Wilson, 1911); (Fig. 4, B7). The organs with the highest levels of infection were the stomach and spiral valve, primarily due to cestodes.

In the case of the southern stingray (*H. americanus*), a total of 14 specimens from Seybaplaya, Campeche, were examined. Disk length and width (cm) were recorded or estimated for each individual. The mean (± standard deviation) disk width was 56.71 ± 8.91 cm, and the disk length was estimated at approximately 42.5 ± 6.7 cm, based on the proportional relationship between disk width and disk length reported for dasyatid rays. A total of 1,775 parasites were recorded, representing seven parasitic species: six cestodes (*Oncomegas* sp., (Fig. 4, B2), *Pterobothrium* sp., (Fig. 4, B5), *Grillotia* sp., (Fig. 4, B4), *Phyllobothrium* sp., (Fig. 3, A5), *Nybelinia* sp., *Acanthobothrium* sp.) and one nematode (*Anisakis* sp.) (Figs. 3. A1, A3, A7). Cestodes were the predominant helminth group during both sampling periods, occurring in all fourteen spiral valves.

Information regarding prevalence, mean intensity, and mean abundance of the parasites found in both elasmobranch species is presented in Table 1.



Figure 3. Imágenes obtenidas mediante microscopía electrónica de barrido (MEB). (A1) *Nybelinia* sp. de *C. leucas*, mostrando el escólex en vista lateral con los tentáculos en las regiones basal y metabasal. (A2) *Erpocotyle carcharhini* de *C. leucas*, apreciándose el organismo completo. (A3) *Acanthobothrium* sp. procedente de la válvula espiral de *H. americanus*. (A4) *Nemesis* sp. de *C. leucas*. (A5) *Phyllobothrium* sp. obtenido de la válvula espiral de *H. americanus*. (B6) *Nybelinia* sp. de *H. americanus*. (B7) Representación morfológica de *Anisakis* sp. procedente de la válvula espiral de *H. americanus*.



Figure 4. (B1) *Granulinema carcharhini* (male): A) esophageal region; B) anterior part of the body; C) tail; D) posterior part of the body (modified from Moravec & Little, 1988). (B2) Morphological representation of *Oncomegas* sp. obtained from the spiral valve of *H. americanus*. (B3) *Nybelinia* sp. from *H. americanus*. (B4) Morphological representation of *Grillotia* sp. from the spiral valve of *H. americanus*. (B5) Morphological representation of *Pterobothrium* sp. obtained from the spiral valve of *H. americanus*. (B6) Dorsal view of *Nesippus orientalis* observed under the microscope. (B7) *Paralebion elongatus*: male specimen in dorsal view. Morphological drawings were digitally prepared using CorelDRAW 2024 based on microscopic observations.

Table 1. Infection parameters for each parasite species recorded in *Carcharhinus leucas* and *Hypanus americanus*.

Parasite group	Parasite species	Total number of parasites	Prevalence (%)	Mean abundance ± Standard deviation	Mean intensity ± Standard deviation
Bull shark (*Carcharhinus leucas*)					
Monogenea	*Erpocotyle carcharhini*	25	30	2.5±1.5	11.3 ± 5.4
Cestoda	*Nybelinia* sp.	113	100	11.3±3.36	2 ± 0
Nematoda	*Granulinema carcharhini*	2	10	0.2±0.45	8.3 ± 4.9

(Continúa Tabla 1)

(Continúa Tabla 1)

Parasite group	Parasite species	Total number of parasites	Prevalence (%)	Mean abundance ± Standard deviation	Mean intensity ± Standard deviation
Copepoda	*Nemesis* sp.	6	10	0.6±0.77	6 ± 0
	Nesippus orientalis	12	30	1.2±1	4 ± 2.1
	Paralebion elongatus	17	30	1.7±1.3	5.6 ± 0.9
Southern stingray (*Hypanus americanus)*					
Cestoda	*Oncomegas* sp.	956	100	68.28 ± 66.98	68.28 ± 66.98
	Pterobothrium sp.	620	92.85	44.28 ± 41.17	47.69 ± 40.75
	Phyllobothrium sp.	2	7.14	0.14 ± 0.53	2 ± 0
	Nybelinia sp.	2	14.28	0.14 ± 0.36	1 ± 0
	Acanthobothrium sp.	47	35.71	3.35 ± 6.87	9.4 ± 9.09
	Grillotia sp.	144	71.42	10.28 ± 9.44	14.4 ± 7.93
Nematoda	*Anisakis* sp.	4	21.42	0.28 ± 0.61	1.33 ± 0.57

DISCUSSION

This study represents a significant contribution to the parasitological knowledge of elasmobranchs along the southern coast of the Gulf of Mexico, constituting the first record of parasites in *C. leucas* from the localities of Seybaplaya, Champotón, and Ciudad del Carmen in Campeche, and Barra de San Pedro in Tabasco, as well as a new parasitological record for *H. americanus* captured in Seybaplaya, Campeche. These results expand the understanding of the geographic distribution of parasitic species in the Gulf of Mexico and suggest the presence of environmental and ecological conditions that favor their establishment in this region, without implying that they are exclusive to these areas.

In *C. leucas*, the ecto- and endoparasite species recorded had not been previously reported for this shark along the coasts of Mexico, allowing it to be considered a new host for several taxa. When compared with studies from other regions of the Gulf, such as that of Méndez & Dorantes-González (2013) in Veracruz, where eight cestode species were reported, only one cestode species (*Nybelinia* sp.) was identified in the present study. This may be attributed to differences in feeding habits, availability of intermediate hosts, or local environmental variations. *C. leucas* is an opportunistic predator (Compagno, 1984) whose diet includes bony fishes potential intermediate hosts of cestodes which explains the presence of helminths such as *Granulinema carcharhini*, a species previously reported in the Gulf of Mexico but with limited taxonomic information (Moravec & Little, 1988). Likewise, the absence of *Erpocotyle carcharhini* in *C. leucas* captured in low-salinity environments such as Laguna de Términos and the San Pedro River aligns with Watson & Thorson (1976), who suggested low tolerance of this parasite to freshwater conditions.

Regarding copepods, genera such as *Nemesis* and *Nesippus* are common gill parasites of sharks, and their presence in this region represents the first record for Mexico, although they have been previously documented in the western Atlantic and African waters (Cressey, 1970; Oldewage, 1993). These observations reinforce the hypothesis that *C. leucas*, by moving between marine and estuarine environments, may act as a vector of interoceanic parasite dispersal.

In *H. americanus*, seven helminth genera were identified, with a prevalence of 100% for the Class Cestoda and 21.42% for the Phylum Nematoda. The high frequency of cestodes is consistent with reports from other elasmobranchs in the Gulf of Mexico (Méndez & Dorantes-González, 2017; Dorantes-González & Méndez, 2018; Pozos-Carré *et al.*, 2020), where this group constitutes the dominant fraction of the parasitic fauna. This high prevalence is associated with the benthic diet of the ray, which includes mollusks, crustaceans, and small fishes (FishBase, 2022), making it a suitable host for larval stages of numerous cestodes (Palm, 2011).

The genera *Oncomegas* and *Pterobothrium* were the most abundant, with prevalences of 100% and 92.85%,

respectively—results comparable to those observed in Veracruz (Pozos-Carré *et al.*, 2020). *Oncomegas wageneri*, in particular, has been associated with areas containing aromatic hydrocarbons (Vidal-Martínez *et al.*, 2015), which may indicate exposure to contaminants in Seybaplaya. Other genera, such as *Phyllobothrium* and *Acanthobothrium*, exhibited lower prevalences, although their presence reaffirms their role as typical parasites of Gulf elasmobranchs (Méndez *et al.*, 2018). Also noteworthy is the first record of *Grillotia* in *H. americanus* for the Gulf of Mexico, expanding the known distribution of this genus, previously reported in other rays from the Atlantic and southern Africa (Álvarez *et al.*, 2006; Oosthuizen *et al.*, 2021).

The low representation of nematodes in both species agrees with observations from other studies and may be attributed to the high concentrations of urea present in elasmobranchs, which hinder the development of these helminths (Modzelesky, 2018; Solano-Barquero *et al.*, 2023).

Overall, the results obtained for both species represent only a portion of the parasitic diversity known in chondrichthyans from the Gulf of Mexico, where at least 47 cestode species have been recorded in 27 of the 128 described species (Chandler, 1954; McEachran, 2009; Adán-Torres *et al.*, 2025). Nonetheless, the findings of this study suggest the existence of ecological barriers or limiting factors that shape the distribution of certain parasites within the Gulf, potentially influenced by salinity, habitat type, feeding habits, and anthropogenic disturbance. Therefore, expanding sampling areas and increasing sample sizes in future studies will provide a better understanding of the biogeographic patterns and parasite-host relationships in elasmobranchs from the southern (Gulf of Mexico) (Solano-Barquero *et al.*, 2023).

This study provides a valuable contribution to the parasitological knowledge of elasmobranchs along the southern coast of the Gulf of Mexico, documenting for the first time the parasitic composition of the bull shark in Campeche and Tabasco, and of the southern stingray in the fishing port of Seybaplaya, Campeche. Six parasite species were recorded in the bull shark, two of which were endoparasites (*Nybelinia* sp. and *G. carcharhini*) and four ectoparasites (*E. carcharhini*, *Nemesis* sp., *N. orientalis*, and *P. elongatus*). In the southern stingray, seven parasite species were recorded six belonging to the Class Cestoda (*Oncomegas*, *Pterobothrium*, *Phyllobothrium*, *Nybelinia*, *Grillotia*, and *Acanthobothrium*) and one to the Phylum Nematoda (*Anisakis*).

The record of *Grillotia* sp. represents the first report of this genus in the southern stingray and in the Gulf of Mexico, extending its known distribution. Overall, the results of this study reveal a high parasitic diversity in the elasmobranchs of the region and highlight the ecological importance of these species as definitive hosts within the trophic networks of the Gulf of Mexico. This work provides a foundation for future research on parasite biogeography, environmental factors influencing helminth distribution, and the potential role of coastal conditions such as salinity and anthropogenic activity in structuring parasitic communities.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was conducted in the Parasitology Laboratory of the Center for Environmental Science Research (CICA) at the Universidad Autónoma del Carmen (UNACAR), within the framework of the CONACyT Cátedras project "Parasitology of commercially important marine fishes in southeastern Mexico" (No. 1205), led by María Amparo Rodríguez Santiago. Field sampling was part of a collaborative effort with teams from the Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana, Unidad Iztapalapa (UAM–Iztapalapa), and the Centro de Investigación Científica y de Educación Superior de Ensenada (CICESE), linked to the megaproject "Status, conservation, and vulnerability of seagrass ecosystems along the Gulf of Mexico coastline" (Fondo Sectorial CONACYT–SENER–Hidrocarburos, Project No. 201441). Additional support was provided by the Gulf of Mexico Research Consortium (CIGoM) through the project "Analysis of δ13C and δ18O in otoliths of marine fishes from the Laguna de Términos Flora and Fauna Protection Area, Campeche: indicators of climate change, EPOMEX." Special thanks are extended to Andrés Reda Deara and Hernán Álvarez Guillen for their technical assistance during sampling. We thank the Scanning Electron Microscopy Laboratory of CINVESTAV Mérida for the technical support provided during sample processing and image acquisition.

Author contributions: CRediT (Contributor Roles Taxonomy)

MARS = María Amparo Rodríguez-Santiago
MLCR = María Lilibeth Cupil-Ruíz
YTR = Yassir Edén Torres-Rojas
EA = Enrique Ávila
JI = José Iannacone
AMC = Ana Mass-Cel

CRCT = Celso Rubén Canche-Tun
LCSJ: Lilia Catherinne Soler-Jiménez
DMD = Deysi Medrano-Domínguez

Conceptualization: MARS, EAT, MLCR
Data curation: MLCR, CRCT, DMD, AMC, MARS
Formal analysis: EA, JI, MARS
Funding acquisition: MARS, JI
Investigation: MARS, MLCR, CRCT, DMD, AMC, YTR
Methodology: MARS, MLCR, YTR
Project administration: MARS, YTR
Resources: MARS, YTR, JI, LCTJ
Software: EA, JI
Supervision: MARS, YTR
Validation: EA, YTR, MARS
Visualization: EA, MARS, MLCR, LCSJ
Writing – original draft: MARS, YTR, MLCR
Writing – review & editing: MARS, MLCR

BIBLIOGRAPHIC REFERENCES

Adán-Torres, B., García-Prieto, L., & León-Règagnon, V. (2025). Molecular and morphological characterization, phylogenetic affinities and new records of Trypanorhyncha (Cestoda) from the Mexican Atlantic. *Parasitology International*, *109*, *103095.*

Álvarez, M.F., Aragort, W., Leiro, J.M. & Sanmartín, M.L. (2006). Macroparasites of five species of ray (genus *Raja*) on the northwest coast of Spain. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms*, *70*, 93–100.

Anderson, R.C., Chabaud A.G., & Willmott S. (1974). *CIH keys to the nematode parasites of vertebrates.* Commonwealth Agricultural Bureaux, Farnham Royal, Buck. Vol. 1-10.

Anderson, R.C. (1984). The origins of zooparasitic nematodes. *Canadian Journal of Zoology, 62*, 317-328.

Anderson, R.C. (1988). Nematode transmission patterns. *Journal of Parasitology*, *74*, 30-45.

Bravo-Zavala, F.G., Pérez-Jiménez, J.C., Tovar-Ávila, J., & Arce-Ibarra, A.M. (2022). Vulnerability of 14 elasmobranchs to various fisheries in the southern Gulf of Mexico. *Marine and Freshwater Research, 73*, 1064-1082.

Bush, A.O., Lafferty, K.D., Lotz, J.M., & Shostak, A.W. (1997). Parasitology meets ecology on its own terms: Margolis *et al*. revisited. *Journal of Parasitology*, *83*, 575–583.

Carlson, J., Charvet, P., Blanco-Parra, M.P., Briones Bell-lloch, A., Cardenosa, D., Derrick, D., Espinoza, E., Morales-Saldaña, J.M., Naranjo-Elizondo, B., Pacoureau, N., Schneider, E.V.C., Simpson, N.J., Pollom, R., & Dulvy, N.K. (2020). *Hypanus americanus*. *The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species* 2020: e.T181244884A104123787.

Compagno, L.J.V. (1984). *FAO Species Catalogue. Vol. 4. Sharks of the world. An annotated and illustrated catalogue of shark species known to date. Part 2 – Carcharhiniformes*. Rome: FAO, pp. 478–486.

Chandler, A.C. (1954). Cestoda. In: Fish and Wildlife Service, U.S. Department of the Interior (Ed.), *Gulf of Mexico, its origin, waters, and marine life*. *Fishery Bulletin of the Fish and Wildlife Service*, *55*, 351–353.

Cressey, R.F. (1970). Copepods parasitic on sharks from the west coast of Florida. *Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology*, *381*, 1–30.

Dorantes-González, M.A., & Méndez, O. (2018). Helmintos parásitos intestinales del tiburón puntas negras *Carcharhinus limbatus* (Müller & Henle, 1839) (Carcharhiniformes: Carcharhinidae), en playa Chachalacas, Veracruz, México. *Neotropical Helminthology*, *12*, 213–222.

FishBase. (2022). *Hypanus americanus* (Hildebrand & Schroeder, 1928) *Mantarraya del sur.* En: *Hypanus americanus, raya del sur: pesquerías, peces de caza*. https://www.fishbase.se/summary/1247

Guzmán-Cornejo, C., García, L., Rivas, G., Mendoza-Garfias, B., Osorio, D. & Montiel, G. (2012). *Manual de prácticas de metazoarios parásitos de vertebrados*. Prensas de Ciencias, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, 141 pp.

Khalil, L.F., Jones, A., & Bray, R.A. (Eds.). (1994). *Keys to the cestode parasites of vertebrates*. CAB International. 751 pp.

Kleinertz, S., Yulianto, I., Kurschat, C., Koepper, S., Simeon, B. M., Klimpel, S., ... & Palm, H. W. (2022). Elasmobranchs from Indonesian waters: feeding ecology and trypanorhynch cestode fauna composition to support efforts in shark and ray conservation. *Acta parasitologica*, *67*, 1612–1625.

Martínez-Cruz, L.E., Balan-Ché, L.I., Seca-Escalante, J.M., Oviedo-Pérez, J.L. & González-Ocaranza, L. (2011). *Caracterización de la pesquería de elasmobranquios en el estado de Campeche*. Centro Regional de Investigación Pesquera de Lerma, Campeche, 27 pp.

Martínez-Cruz, L.E., Oviedo-Pérez, J.L., González-Ocaranza, L., Balan-Ché, L.I. & Maldonado-Martín, J.I. (2012). *Caracterización de la pesquería de elasmobranquios en el estado de Campeche*. Centro Regional de Investigación Pesquera de Lerma, Campeche, 59 pp.

McEachran, J.D. (2009). Fishes (Vertebrata: Pisces) of the Gulf of Mexico. In: Felder, D.L. & Camp, D.K. (Eds). *Gulf of Mexico origin, waters, and biota. Vol. 1. Biodiversity*. Corpus Christi, USA: Texas A&M University Press, 1393 pp.

Mejía-Falla, P., Navia, A. & Puentes, V. (2011). *Guía para la identificación de especies de tiburones, rayas y quimeras de Colombia*. Colombia: Ministerio de Ambiente y Desarrollo Sostenible.

Méndez, O. & Dorantes-González, M.A. (2013). Cestodes of the bull shark *Carcharhinus leucas* in Chachalacas beach, Veracruz, Mexico. *Neotropical Helminthology*, *7*, 167–171.

Méndez, O. & Dorantes-González, M. (2017). Helmintos parásitos intestinales de tiburones en la costa central del estado de Veracruz, México. *Ciencia Pesquera*, *25*, 51–61.

Méndez, O. & Galván-Magaña, F. (2016). Cestodes of the blue shark, *Prionace glauca* (Linnaeus, 1758) (Carcharhiniformes: Carcharhinidae), off the west coast of Baja California Sur. *Zootaxa*, *4085*, 438–444.

Méndez, O., Valero-Pacheco, E. & Dorantes-González, M.A. (2018). Helmintos parásitos intestinales de algunos tiburones (Pisces: Elasmobranchii) del Golfo de México. *Neotropical Helminthology*, *12*, 223–231.

Merlo-Serna, A. & García-Prieto, L. (2016). A checklist of helminth parasites of Elasmobranchii in Mexico. *ZooKeys*, *563*, 73–128.

Modzelesky, A. S. (2018). *Meta-Analytic Summary of Parasites and Diseases of Elasmobranchs Found in Florida Waters* (Nova Southeastern University). NSUWorks. 94p.

Morales-Serna, F.N., Rodríguez-Santiago, M.A., Gelabert, R., & Flores-Morales, L.M. (2019). Parasites of fish *Poecilia velifera* and their potential as bioindicators of wetland restoration progress. *Helgoland Marine Research, 73*, Article number 1.

Moravec, F., Kohn, A., & Fernandes, B.M.M. (1993). Nematode parasites of fishes of the Paraná River, Brazil. Part 2. Seuratoidea, Ascaridoidea, Habronematoidea and Acuarioidea. *Folia Parasitologica*, *40*, 115–134.

Moravec, F. & Little, M.D. (1988). *Granulinema* gen. n., a new dracunculoid genus with two new species (*G. carcharhini* sp. n. and *G. simile* sp. n.) from the bull shark, *Carcharhinus leucas* (Valenciennes), from Louisiana, USA. *Folia Parasitologica*, *35*, 113–120.

Oldewage, H.W. (1993). Three species of piscine parasitic copepods from southern African coastal waters. *South African Journal of Zoology*, *28*, 113–121.

Oosthuizen, G., Acosta, A.A., Smit, N.J., & Schaeffner, B.C. (2021). A new species of *Grillotia* Guiart, 1927 (Cestoda: Trypanorhyncha) from the spotted skate, *Raja straeleni* Poll, in South Africa. *Parasitology International*, *82*, 102307.

Palm, H.W. (2011). Fish parasites as biological indicators in a changing world: Can we monitor environmental impact and climate change? In: Mehlhorn, H. (Ed.). *Progress in Parasitology. Parasitology Research Monographs*. Springer Verlag.

Pozos-Carré, D., Uscanga-Alvarado, D., Mendoza-Chacón, C. & Méndez, O. (2020). Helmintos parásitos intestinales de la raya *Hypanus americanus* (Hildebrand & Schroeder, 1928) en Chachalacas, Veracruz, México. *Neotropical Helminthology*, *14*, 67–73.

Rodríguez-Santiago, M., Morales-Serna, F., Gómez, S. & Grano-Maldonado, M. I. (2016). New records of parasitic copepods (Copepoda: Pandaridae, Eudactylinidae, Caligidae) on elasmobranchs (Chondrichthyes) in the Gulf of Mexico. *Ciencia Pesquera*, (Special Issue), 15–21.

Rodríguez-Santiago M.A., Gómez, S., & Grano-Maldonado, M.I. (2015). New records of parasitic copepods (Copepoda: Pandaridae, Eudactylinidae, caligidae) on five shark species (Pisces: Elasmobranchia) in the Gulf of Mexico. *Neotropical Helminthology*, *9*, 177–182.

Rodríguez-Santiago, M.A., Méndez, O., Mandujano-Solís, R.E., & Caballero-Vázquez, A. (2019). Parásitos de elasmobranquios (tiburones y rayas) del sur del Golfo de México: un mundo microscópico desconocido. *Sociedad Ictiológica Mexicana*, 45 p.

SAGARPA. (2008). *Guía para la identificación de las especies de tiburones de importancia comercial en el Golfo de México, términos técnicos y principales medidas*. 3ª edición. CONAPESCA.

Salgado-Maldonado, G. (1979). *Procedimientos y técnicas generales empleados en los estudios helmintológicos*. Departamento de Pesca, Dirección General de Acuacultura, México, 55 pp.

Solano-Barquero, A., Rojas, A., & Cortés, J. (2023). Metazoan marine parasites of Costa Rica: A review. *Parasitologia, 3*, 116-141.

Sures, B., Nachev, M., Selbach, C., & Marcogliese, D. J. (2017). Parasite responses to pollution: what we know and where we go in 'Environmental Parasitology'. *Parasites & Vectors*, *10*, 65.

Vidal-Martínez, V.M., Torres-Irineo, E., Romero, D., Gold-Bouchot, G., Martínez-Meyer, E., Valdés-Lozano, D., & Aguirre-Macedo, L. (2015). Environmental and anthropogenic factors affecting the probability of occurrence of *Oncomegas wageneri* (Cestoda: Trypanorhyncha) in the southern Gulf of Mexico. *Parasites & Vectors*, *8*, 609.

Walter, T.C., & Boxshall, G. (2018). *World of Copepods database*. World Register of Marine Species.

Watson, D.E. & Thorson, T.B. (1976). Helminths from elasmobranchs in Central American fresh waters. In: *Investigations of the ichthyofauna of Nicaraguan lakes*, Thomas B. Thorson (ed.). University of Nebraska-Lincoln, 52 pp.

Wilkinson, T., Wiken, E., Bezaury-Creel, J., Hourigan, T., Agardy, T., Herrmann, H., Janishevski, L., Madden, C., Morgan, L., & Padilla, M. (2009). *Ecorregiones marinas de América del Norte*. Montreal: Comisión para la Cooperación Ambiental, 200 pp.

Yamaguti, S. (1959). *Systema helminthum. Vol. II. The cestodes of vertebrates*. Interscience Publishers. 860p.

Yamaguti, S. (1961). *Systema helminthum. Volume III. The nematodes of vertebrates*. Interscience Publishers. 679 pp.

Yamaguti, S. (1963). *Systema helminthum. Volume IV. Monogenea and Aspidocotylea*. Interscience Publishers. 699 p.

Yamaguti, S. (1971). Synopsis of digenetic trematodes of vertebrates. Vols I and II. Keigaku Publishing. 1074 p.

Received December 5, 2025.

Accepted December 31, 2025.