

1 Neotropical Helminthology, 2025, vol. 19 (1), XX-XX.

2 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.62429/rmh20251911905>

3 Este artículo es publicado por la revista Neotropical Helminthology de la Facultad de Ciencias Naturales y Matemática, Universidad
4 Nacional Federico Villarreal, Lima, Perú auspiciado por la Asociación Peruana de Helminología e Invertebrados Afines (APHIA).
5 Este es un artículo de acceso abierto, distribuido bajo los términos de la licencia Creative Commons Atribución 4.0 Internacional (CC
6 BY 4.0) [<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/deed.es>] que permite el uso, distribución y reproducción en cualquier medio,
7 siempre que la obra original sea debidamente citada de su fuente original.



8

9 ORIGINAL ARTICLE / ARTÍCULO ORIGINAL

10

11 ENDOPARASITES ASSOCIATED WITH ANURAN AMPHIBIANS IN A
12 CONSERVATION AREA IN THE SETE CIDADES NATIONAL PARK, PIAUÍ STATE,
13 BRAZIL

14

15 ENDOPARÁSITOS ASOCIADOS A ANFIBIOS ANUROS EN UN ÁREA DE
16 CONSERVACIÓN DEL PARQUE NACIONAL DE SETE CIDADES, ESTADO DE
17 PIAUÍ, BRASIL

18

19 Jacilene de Sousa Uchôa^{1,4}; Cicero Ricardo de Oliveira^{2,4,*}; Etielle Barroso de Andrade³
20 & Diva Maria Borges-Nojosa^{1,4}

21 ¹Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ecologia e Recursos Naturais, Departamento de
22 Biologia, Campus do Pici, Universidade Federal do Ceará, Fortaleza, Brasil, Av. Mister
23 Hull, s/n, Fortaleza, CE, 60440-900, Brasil

24 ²Universidade Federal do Cariri, Instituto de Formação de Educadores, Laboratório de
25 Biologia e Ecologia de Vertebrados, 63.260-000, Brejo Santo, CE, Brasil.

26 ³Grupo de Pesquisa em Biodiversidade e Biotecnologia do Centro-Norte Piauiense,
27 Instituto Federal de Educação, Ciência e Tecnologia do Piauí, Campus Pedro II, Rua
28 Antonino Martins de Andrade, 750, Pedro II, PI, 64255-000, Brasil.

29 ⁴ Núcleo Regional de Ofiologia, Universidade Federal do Ceará, Fortaleza, CE, Brasil.

30 *Corresponding author: riccicer@gmail.com

31 Running Head: Endoparasites of anurans in the seven cities national park

32 Uchôa *et al.*

33 Jacilene de Sousa Uchôa:  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3426-1391>

34 Cicero Ricardo de Oliveira:  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3194-7067>

35 Etielle Barroso de Andrade:  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5030-1675>

36 Diva Maria Borges-Nojosa:  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8696-0170>

37

38 **ABSTRACT**

39 Inventories are the basis of studies, essential for determining which and how many
40 species are part of an ecosystem and important for understanding the diversity and
41 functioning of organisms. Studies on parasitic fauna are based on the importance of
42 these organisms as disease-causing agents that can influence the biosecurity of
43 ecosystems and natural environments. Thus, research that investigates the relationship
44 between helminths and anurans provides information that helps in the conservation of
45 these species and their habitats. The aim of this study is to describe the parasitic
46 community associated with anuran amphibians in the Sete Cidades National Park
47 (PNSC), an important conservation area in the north of the state of Piauí, Brazil. We
48 analyzed 318 anuran amphibians, distributed among 21 species and four families. Of
49 these, 142 individuals were parasitized by at least one species of endoparasite, giving
50 an overall prevalence of 44.65%. A total of 8056 parasite specimens were counted and
51 identified in 26 parasite taxa, with an average infection intensity of 56.73 and abundance
52 of 25.33. Among the most abundant species found were *Raillietnema spectans* Gomes,
53 1964 (3034), *Schrankiana schranki* (Travassos, 1925) (2383), and *Aplectana hylambatis*
54 (Baylis, 1927) (1540). In this study, we expanded the parasite fauna of anurans in the
55 PNSC, which previously consisted of 13 parasite taxa in just four anuran species. Here,
56 we recorded 21 additional parasite taxa, with new records of infection in 17 anuran host
57 species. We also added 19 new parasite taxa to the existing records for the state of
58 Piauí, significantly expanding our knowledge of the region.

59 **Keywords:** Anurans – Helminthes – Inventory – Nematodes – Semi-arid

60

61 RESUMEN

62 Los inventarios son la base de los estudios, esenciales para determinar cuáles y cuántas
63 especies forman parte de un ecosistema e importantes para comprender la diversidad y
64 el funcionamiento de los organismos. Los estudios sobre la fauna parasitaria se basan
65 en la importancia de estos organismos como agentes causantes de enfermedades que
66 pueden influir en la bioseguridad de los ecosistemas y entornos naturales. Así, las
67 investigaciones que indagan en la relación entre helmintos y anuros proporcionan
68 información que ayuda a la conservación de estas especies y sus hábitats. El objetivo
69 de este estudio es describir la comunidad parasitaria asociada a anfibios anuros en el
70 Parque Nacional de Sete Cidades (PNSC), una importante área de conservación en el
71 norte del estado de Piauí. Analizamos 318 anfibios anuros, distribuidos entre 21
72 especies y cuatro familias. De ellos, 142 individuos estaban parasitados por al menos
73 una especie de endoparásito, lo que supone una prevalencia global del 44,65%. Se
74 contabilizaron un total de 8056 ejemplares parasitados y identificados en 26 taxones de
75 parásitos, con una intensidad media de infección de 56,73 y una abundancia de 25,33.
76 Entre las especies más abundantes se encontraron *Raillietnema spectans* Gomes, 1964
77 (3034), *Schrankiana schranki* (Travassos, 1925) (2383), y *Aplectana hylambatis* (Baylis,
78 1927) (1540). En este estudio, hemos ampliado la fauna de parásitos de anuros en el
79 PNSC, que anteriormente constaba de 13 taxones de parásitos en sólo cuatro especies
80 de anuros. Aquí, registramos 21 taxones de parásitos adicionales, con nuevos registros
81 de infección en 17 especies de anuros hospedadores. También añadimos 19 nuevos
82 taxones de parásitos a los registros existentes para el estado de Piauí, ampliando
83 significativamente nuestro conocimiento de la región.

84 **Palabras clave:** Anuros – Helmintos – Inventario – Nematodos – Semiárido

85

86 INTRODUCTION

87 Amphibians are the vertebrates most at risk of extinction, with 40.7% of species
88 threatened worldwide (Luedtke *et al.*, 2023). Emerging infectious diseases and habitat

89 loss are currently the main causes of amphibian decline (Luedtke *et al.*, 2023; Ruggeri
90 *et al.*, 2023). Amphibians are intermediate, paratenic, and/or definitive hosts for various
91 species of parasitic helminths (Koprivnikar *et al.*, 2012; Campião *et al.*, 2014; Amorim *et al.*
92 *et al.*, 2019; Herczeg *et al.*, 2021; Mascarenhas *et al.*, 2021). In this way, parasites can also
93 cause species decline, affecting host immunity and population dynamics (Bittencourt &
94 Rocha, 2003; Hudson, 2005).

95 The parasite fauna comprises a large part of the planet's biodiversity (Kuris,
96 2008), where parasitism is a complex ecological interaction that can involve ecological
97 processes of multiple hosts (Bower *et al.*, 2019) and can have a long history of
98 coevolution between hosts and their parasites (Ebert & Fields, 2020). Among other
99 things, they represent an important modulator of biodiversity at different trophic levels
100 and are models for studying parasite-host relationships (Cardoso *et al.*, 2016).

101 Inventories, which are the basis of studies, are essential for determining which
102 and how many species are part of an ecosystem and are important for understanding
103 the diversity and functioning of organisms (Segalla *et al.*, 2021). Studies on parasitic
104 fauna are based on the importance of these organisms as disease-causing agents that
105 can influence the biosecurity of ecosystems and natural environments (Brooks & Hoberg,
106 2000). Thus, research investigating the relationship between helminths and anurans
107 provides information that helps in the conservation of these species and their habitats
108 (Coimbra *et al.*, 2023). In addition to parasites having this ecological importance, anurans
109 are also important as environmental bioindicators (Chaukulkar *et al.*, 2018; Cramp &
110 Franklin, 2018).

111 Helminths are the most common invertebrates parasitizing amphibians (Santos
112 & Amato, 2013). Among these, the most abundant are nematodes (Camião *et al.*, 2014;
113 Oliveira *et al.*, 2022) due to the biological aspects of the host and environmental
114 conditions (Sena *et al.*, 2018; Oliveira *et al.*, 2022), where changes in natural ecosystems
115 can cause variations in the transmission patterns of these parasites, facilitating their
116 occurrence and dispersal (Poulin, 2007). These parasitic aspects are also closely related

117 to the phylogeny and life history of the hosts (Poulin, 2007; Brito *et al.*, 2014). Thus,
118 many of the characteristics of the host habitat can influence colonization by parasites
119 (Goater *et al.*, 2005). This is due to how hosts respond to biotic factors such as
120 temperature, humidity, precipitation, and others (Poulin & Krasnov, 2010).

121 Amphibian parasites can be directly affected by the environment, physiology,
122 behavior, and ecology of their host (Bower *et al.*, 2019). In amphibians, parasites can
123 induce behavioral changes in their hosts that favor their establishment or transmission
124 to their final host (Hernandez-Caballero *et al.*, 2022). Stress-induced physiological
125 changes can increase the host's vulnerability to parasitic infections (Herczeg *et al.*,
126 2021), such as physiological responses caused by pesticides (Rohr *et al.*, 2008; Hua *et al.*,
127 2017). In addition, the presence and abundance of highly lethal parasites in
128 amphibian populations may indicate susceptibility to disease and environmental
129 disturbances (Koprivnikar, 2012).

130 However, according to Oliveira *et al.* (2023), parasites are distributed according
131 to the dispersal of their hosts and are not directly influenced, as they are endoparasites,
132 by the biotic factors that commonly limit the dispersal of other species. In this case,
133 parasites are present in most anuran species. Knowledge of the diversity and distribution
134 of parasites is therefore important for understanding the role of parasite-host ecological
135 relationships in ecosystem dynamics (Poulin & Krasnov, 2010; Campião *et al.*, 2015b).

136 Since there is a lack of information on the parasitic fauna of anuran amphibians
137 in Piauí (Vieira *et al.*, 2021), and given their impact on the ecology of populations and
138 communities, the study of parasitism is important to understand the relationships
139 between host and parasite, their impact on the trophic chain, and the influence of
140 environmental factors on these relationships (Berkhout *et al.*, 2019; Oliveira *et al.*, 2023).
141 Furthermore, helminths can also be used as bioindicators of environmental health
142 (Koprivnikar *et al.*, 2012). This study aims to describe the parasitic community associated
143 with anuran amphibians in the Sete Cidades National Park (PNSC), an important
144 conservation area in the state of Piauí, Brazil.

145

146 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

147 Anuran amphibians were collected in the Sete Cidades National Park (PNSC),
148 an environmental protection area located in the northern region of the state of Piauí
149 (04°02-08'S, 41°40-45'W), Brazil, which has an average altitude ranging from 100 and
150 290 meters (Ivanov & Lemos, 2020). The territory has typical characteristics of a
151 transition zone, with areas of Caatinga and Cerrado (Della-Favera, 2002; Oliveira *et al.*,
152 2010; Lopes *et al.*, 2011). The anurans were collected at five sampling points throughout
153 the park during the region's rainy season, using auditory and visual searches (Heyer *et*
154 *al.*, 1994), during 19 collection days between March and May 2024, from 18:00 to 00:00.

155 In the laboratory, the anurans were euthanized with a lethal injection of thiopental
156 sodium (Thiopentax®), fixed in 10% formaldehyde, and preserved in 70% alcohol. They
157 were necropsied with ventral incisions in the anteroposterior axis, and the following
158 organs were analyzed using a stereoscopic microscope: gastrointestinal tract, lungs,
159 liver, kidneys, and internal cavity. The parasites were collected and prepared according
160 to Amato *et al.* (1991). The parasites were identified according to the specific
161 methodology for each taxonomic group, using the following literature: Yamaguti (1971),
162 Schmidt (1986), Vicente *et al.* (1991), and Felix-Nascimento *et al.* (2020). The infection
163 parameters were analyzed according to Bush *et al.* (1997). The endoparasites identified
164 were deposited in the Parasitological Collection of the Federal University of Ceará
165 (CPUFC; voucher: 1087 – 1112), Fortaleza, Brazil, and their hosts in the Herpetological
166 Collection of the Federal University of Ceará (CHUFC; voucher: PN7C 01 – PN7C 318),
167 Fortaleza, Brazil. We used a linear model to verify the relationship between host size
168 (snout-vent length-SVL) and final parasite abundance.

169 Ethic aspects: All the procedures used in this work comply with the ethical
170 standards of the relevant national and institutional guidelines on the care and use of
171 laboratory animals. Collection authorization Chico Mendes Institute for Biodiversity

172 Conservation - ICMBio (#92796-1) and Ethics Committee on the Use of Animals of the
173 Federal University of Ceará (CEUA-UFC) (#CEUA 6314010321).

174

175 **RESULTS**

176 We analyzed 318 anuran amphibians, distributed among 21 species and four
177 families. Of these, 142 individuals were parasitized by at least one endoparasite species,
178 with an overall prevalence of 44.65% (see Table 1). A total of 8,056 parasite specimens
179 were counted and identified in 26 parasite taxa, with an average infection intensity of
180 56.73 and abundance of 25.33. Among the most abundant species found were
181 *Raillietnema spectans* Gomes, 1964 (3,034 individuals), *Schrankiana schranki*
182 (Travassos, 1925) (2,383 individuals), and *Aplectana hylambatis* Baylis, 1925 (1,540
183 individuals).

184 Leptodactylidae was the family with the largest number of parasites, with an
185 average of seven parasite species per host analyzed. *Leptodactylus fuscus* (Schneider,
186 1799) was the species with the greatest diversity of parasite species identified (12 spp.).
187 The parasitic fauna of anurans in the SCNP was previously composed of 13 parasite
188 taxa, in only four anuran species. Here, we recorded 21 additional parasite taxa, with
189 new infection records in 17 anuran host species. In addition, we added 19 new parasite
190 taxa to the existing records for the state of Piauí, significantly expanding knowledge
191 about the region. Also analyzing the influence of the SVL on parasite abundance,
192 identifying that for the endoparasitic community, the size of the host is an influential factor
193 in the expected final richness ($R^2 = 0.37$; $p < 0,001$).

194

195 **DISCUSSION**

196 Amphibians are excellent models for studies investigating the diversity of
197 parasitic communities (Aho, 1990; Campião *et al.*, 2015b). The fauna of endoparasites
198 associated with anurans is rich and diverse, being characterized by a wide distribution
199 and low host specificity (Camião *et al.*, 2014). It has a strong tendency to increase

200 considerably as new areas and new available hosts are sampled (Campião *et al.*,
201 2015b).

202 According to Campião *et al.* (2014), around 90% of Brazilian anurans have not
203 yet been studied regarding their parasite fauna, with anurans from the Hylidae and
204 Leptodactylidae families being the most frequently studied hosts. Commonly, nematodes
205 and trematodes are the most recorded in these amphibians (Campião *et al.*, 2014).
206 Campião *et al.* (2015b) claim that leptodactylids have rich parasite communities, with a
207 great taxonomic diversity, mainly composed of nematodes. In our results, leptodactylid
208 species presented the highest prevalence, diversity, and parasite richness. We also
209 observed that most that infections were caused by nematodes, which seems to be a
210 pattern common to species of this family, corroborated by several other studies (see
211 Teles *et al.*, 2015; Oliveira *et al.*, 2019, 2022).

212 As for the low parasite prevalence found in some host species, Chandra & Gupta
213 (2007) highlight that habitat characteristics can influence the composition and structure
214 of the helminth fauna associated with amphibians, which are generally associated with
215 two types of environments (aquatic and terrestrial). Thus, terrestrial species are more
216 likely to come into direct contact with infective larvae than arboreal species, which allows
217 a greater variety of parasites to settle in these animals (Chandra & Gupta, 2007). Thus,
218 one factor that may explain this low prevalence is the host's use of the habitat and the
219 direct transmission of the parasite subcutaneously. Another point is the fact that some
220 species show aestivation behavior and have explosive reproduction, which may have
221 made it difficult for the species to find infective larvae and thus influenced these results.

222 Overall, the PNSC showed a high diversity of parasitic species infecting anurans
223 (26 taxa). The richness found in this study is similar to other studies dealing with the
224 helminth community associated with anurans (Campião *et al.*, 2016a; Graça *et al.*, 2017),
225 as well as in other conservation areas such as the Environmental Protection Area (APA)
226 of Serra de Maranguape (Oliveira *et al.*, 2022) and the APA of Bica do Ipu (Silva-Neta *et al.*
227 *et al.*, 2020). However, this richness may still be underestimated due to unidentified

228 species, such as the occurrence of cryptic species, such as in Rhabdiasidae (Müller *et*
229 *al.*, 2018), or species that were not feasible to identify.

230 In the last decade, several studies on parasitism in Brazilian amphibians have
231 been carried out (Teles *et al.*, 2015; Graça *et al.*, 2017; Silva *et al.*, 2019; Mascarenhas
232 *et al.*, 2021; Oliveira *et al.*, 2024; Santos *et al.*, 2024). Even so, for the PNSC only the
233 species *Dermatonotus muelleri* (Boettger, 1885), *Leptodactylus vastus* Lutz, 1930,
234 *Rhinella diptycha* (Cope, 1862), and *Trachycephalus typhonius* (Linnaeus, 1758) have
235 their helminth fauna known (Benício *et al.*, 2022). According to Aguiar *et al.* (2014), with
236 the increase in parasitological studies, especially in areas that have not yet been
237 sampled and hosts that have not been studied much, it is common to find new records
238 of parasites that have not yet been reported for host species.

239 A good example is *Physalaemus cuvieri* (Fitzinger, 1826), a species widely
240 distributed throughout Brazil (Segalla *et al.*, 2021) and well-known for parasite studies
241 throughout its distribution (see Santos & Amato, 2013; Aguiar *et al.*, 2015; Graça *et al.*,
242 2017; Oliveira *et al.*, 2019; Silva-Neta *et al.*, 2020; Aguiar *et al.*, 2021; Sani *et al.*, 2021;
243 Oliveira *et al.*, 2022). We still present in our results the first record of infection of
244 *Physalopteroides venancioi* Lent, Freitas & Proença, 1946 and *Oxyascaris* sp. for this
245 host. We also presented 33 new records of parasite infection for 14 species of new hosts
246 and added 19 taxa to the records already known for the state of Piauí. Our study
247 corroborates the results of Aguiar *et al.* (2014), that it is common to find new records of
248 parasites in widely studied species, and highlights the need for more parasitological work
249 with host species throughout their distribution and in areas that are still little known since
250 parasite diversity and the parasite-host relationship are still far from being truly known.

251 Nematodes are diverse, abundant, generalist, and well-distributed in the
252 environment. They have a direct life cycle and reach their hosts through oral ingestion
253 or active penetration of infective larvae through the skin (Anderson, 2000). In this study,
254 69% of the parasites identified were Nematoda, following the same pattern of infection

255 found in other neotropical anurans (Campião *et al.*, 2016a; Graça *et al.*, 2017; Oliveira
256 *et al.*, 2019; Silva-Neta *et al.*, 2020; Mascarenhas *et al.*, 2021; Oliveira *et al.*, 2022).

257 Monoxenous parasites such as *R. spectans*, *S. schranki*, and *A. hylambatis* were
258 the most abundant species. However, *Cosmocerca podicipinus* Baker & Vaucher, 1984,
259 *R. spectans*, and *Oswaldocruzia mazzai* Travassos, 1935 were the species that most
260 infected different hosts. According to Anderson (2000), parasites with direct life cycles
261 have low specificity and a simple mode of transmission, which can occur through the
262 ingestion of eggs or penetration of larvae through the host's skin. The high abundance
263 of some parasite species may be associated with parasite self-infection due to the
264 reproduction of these parasites in their hosts (Anderson, 2000). In addition, these
265 species have been recorded infecting several Neotropical anurans (Campião *et al.*, 2014;
266 Teles *et al.*, 2015; Alcantara *et al.*, 2018; Oliveira *et al.*, 2019). Possibly the wide
267 distribution of these parasites and their generalist habitats in terms of host selection
268 (Campião *et al.*, 2014, 2015b; Oliveira *et al.*, 2019, 2023) are also responsible for the
269 high infection rates.

270 A significant number of parasitic larvae have been found in the small and/or large
271 intestines of various host species. Larvae of this type are commonly found in amphibian
272 species (Campião *et al.*, 2014; Oliveira *et al.*, 2022), and this larval stage may be
273 associated with the parasite's monoxenic cycle (Anderson, 2000), as well as a possible
274 recent infection and/or reproduction of the adult parasites in the host. As for the large
275 number of unidentified female specimens, this is due to the lack of taxonomic characters
276 that would enable identification, often caused during the fixation of the hosts previously.
277 In addition, the lack of taxonomic studies is also a limiting factor for the accurate
278 identification of some parasite species distributed in the region studied (Felix-
279 Nascimento *et al.*, 2020).

280 The species of *Centrorhynchus* and *Oligacanthorhynchus* are heteroxenous and
281 generally have mammals and/or birds as definitive hosts (Smales, 2007; Richardson *et*
282 *al.*, 2014), with amphibians being paratenic hosts (Yamaguti, 1963). In South America,

283 *Oligacanthorhynchus* sp. has been recorded infecting *Odontophrynus americanus*
284 (Duméril & Bibron, 1841) (Campião *et al.*, 2014), *Pleurodema diplolister* (Peters, 1870)
285 (Silva-Neta *et al.*, 2020), and *L. vastus* (Oliveira *et al.*, 2022). In the present study,
286 *Oligacanthorhynchus* sp. was recorded parasitizing *Leptodactylus macrosternum*
287 Miranda-Ribeiro, 1926.

288 In amphibians, only the ascarid species *Brevimulticaecum* sp. and *Porrocaecum*
289 sp. are recorded for these hosts (González & Hamann, 2013; Campião *et al.*, 2016a).
290 We identified 21 individuals of *Brevimulticaecum* sp. parasitizing the species *L.*
291 *macrosternum* and *Dendropsophus minusculus* (Rivero, 1971), and two individuals of
292 *Porrocaecum* sp. infecting *L. fuscus*. Ascarids of this type have been reported
293 parasitizing fish, reptiles, and amphibians (Vieira *et al.*, 2010). However, these parasites
294 have crocodylians, freshwater rays, and teleosts as their definitive hosts in the life cycle
295 (Goldberg *et al.*, 2007; Reyda, 2008), while amphibians function as intermediate hosts.
296 This is the first record of *Brevimulticaecum* sp. infection in *D. minusculus* (Rivero, 1971),
297 however, due to the larval stage of this parasite, it was not possible to identify it at the
298 species level.

299 The *Strongyloides* Grassi, 1879 genus has a low specificity and has already been
300 reported for a variety of anurans such as *Boana raniceps* (Cope, 1862), *Physalaemus*
301 *albonotatus* (Steindachner, 1864), *Physalaemus cuvieri* Fitzinger, 1826, *Pithecopus*
302 *gonzagai* Andrade, Haga, Ferreira, Recco-Pimentel, Toledo & Bruschi, 2020,
303 *Pristimantis relictus* Roberto, Loebmann & Ávila, 2022, *Scinax x-signatus* (Spix, 1824),
304 *T. typhonius*, *Leptodactylus gracilis* (Duméril & Bibron, 1841), *Rhinella dorbignyi*
305 (Duméril & Bibron, 1841), *Rhinella icterica* (Spix, 1824), and *Proceratophrys ararype*
306 Mângia, Koroiva, Nunes, Roberto, Ávila, Sant'Anna, Santana & Garda, 2018 (Campião
307 *et al.*, 2014; Mascarenhas *et al.*, 2021; Oliveira *et al.*, 2022). Although its biology is not
308 detailed, it is known that these parasites commonly have a direct life cycle; infection
309 occurs through dermal penetration and ingestion of infected prey (Mati & Melo, 2014;
310 Sulieman *et al.*, 2015). However, even though these parasites are capable of infecting

311 several anuran species (Campião *et al.*, 2014), they generally show low infection rates,
312 as observed in this study for *P. cuvieri*.

313 The Cestoda *Cylindrotaenia americana* Jewell, 1916 has been recorded in
314 several amphibians in Brazil (Toledo *et al.*, 2013; Oliveira *et al.*, 2019, Silva-Neta *et al.*,
315 2020; Oliveira *et al.*, 2022). In the Caatinga, the first record of *C. americana* was made
316 for *Physalaemus cicada* Bokermann, 1966 (Oliveira *et al.*, 2019), later in *P. diplolister* in
317 high-altitude swamps inserted in the Caatinga (Silva-Neta *et al.*, 2020). In our results, we
318 recorded *C. americana* parasitizing *P. diplolister* in Cerrado areas. In Brazil, there are
319 few records of monogeneans, the majority being reported for the genus *Polystoma*
320 Zeder, 1800 (Santos & Amato, 2012). This is the best-known genus among the
321 Polystomatidae (Sinnappah *et al.*, 2001), with a direct life cycle that can be completed in
322 the gills of young tadpoles or inside the urinary bladder of adult frogs (Bentz *et al.*, 2006).
323 Here, we report specimens of *Polystoma goeldii* Sales, Du Preez, Verneau &
324 Domingues, 2023 infecting *Leptodactylus troglodytes* A. Lutz, 1926 and *Leptodactylus*
325 *vastus* A. Lutz, 1930, this being the first record for the host species and the expansion
326 of the parasite's distribution area (Pará state) to the state of Piauí.

327 Several studies report that host body size can influence the establishment of
328 parasite communities (Campião *et al.*, 2015a; Silva-Neta *et al.*, 2020). Larger individuals
329 generally offer larger colonization areas, providing adequate resources for parasite
330 development and reproduction (Campião *et al.*, 2015a; 2016b). However, Oliveira *et al.*
331 (2023) report that host size is only influential when there is significant size variation
332 among individuals. In the present study, the size of anuran hosts was a determining
333 factor in parasite abundance; this fact may be related to the larger surface area available
334 for colonization (Toledo *et al.*, 2017).

335 In our study, we demonstrated that the endoparasites composition of anurans
336 from the PNSC follows the common pattern described for Neotropical amphibians,
337 presenting high species richness and prevalence, with a strong relationship between
338 abundance and host size. We also expanded the parasitological knowledge for the

339 region and added new parasite taxa to the existing records for the Piauí state,
340 highlighting the importance of amphibians as models for parasite studies. In addition, we
341 reaffirm the importance of parasite inventories for host species in poorly studied regions,
342 considering the need to significantly expand knowledge about parasitism in amphibians.

343

344 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

345 We would like to thank the Fundação Cearense de Apoio ao Desenvolvimento Científico
346 e Tecnológico for a postdoc fellowship granted to CRO (FUNCAP/CNPq FPD-0213-
347 00385.01.00/23). JSU thanks the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível
348 Superior - Capes for the master's scholarship.

349

350 **Author contributions: CRediT (Contributor Roles Taxonomy)**

351 **JSU** = Jacilene de Sousa Uchôa

352 **CRO** = Cicero Ricardo de Oliveira

353 **EBA** = Etielle Barroso de Andrade

354 **DMBN** = Diva Maria Borges-Nojosa

355

356 **Conceptualization:** JSU

357 **Data curation:** CRO, DMBN

358 **Formal Analysis:** JSU, CRO

359 **Funding acquisition:** DMBN, EBA

360 **Investigation:** JSU, CRO, EBA, DMBN

361 **Methodology:** JSU, CRO, EBA, DMBN

362 **Project administration:** JSU

363 **Resources:** DMBN, EBA

364 **Software:** JSU, CRO, EBA, DMBN

365 **Supervision:** DMBN, EBA

366 **Validation:** JSU, CRO, EBA, DMBN

367 **Visualization:** JSU, CRO
368 **Writing – original draft:** JSU, CRO, EBA, DMBN
369 **Writing – review & editing:** JSU, CRO, EBA, DMBN

370

371 **BIBLIOGRAPHIC REFERENCES**

- 372 Aguiar, A., Morais, D.H., Pyles-Cicchi, P.J., & Da Silva, R.J. (2014). Evaluation of
373 Helminths Associated with 14 Amphibian Species from a Neotropical Island Near
374 the Southeast Coast of Brazil. *Herpetological Review*, 45, 227.
- 375 Aguiar, A., Morais, D.H., Silva, L.A.F., Dos Anjos, L.A., Foster, O.C., & Da Silva, R.J.
376 (2021). Biodiversity of anuran endoparasites from a transitional area between the
377 Atlantic Forest and Cerrado biomes in Brazil: new records and remarks. *Zootaxa*,
378 4948, 1-41.
- 379 Aguiar, A., Toledo, G.M., Anjos, L.A., & Silva, R.J. (2015). Comunidade de helmintos
380 parasitos de duas populações de *Physalaemus cuvieri* Fitzinger, 1826 (Anura:
381 Leiuperidae) sob diferentes condições de integridade de habitat da Mata Atlântica,
382 Brasil. *Brazilian Journal of Biology*, 75, 963-968.
- 383 Aho, J.M. (1990). Helminth communities of amphibians and reptiles: comparative
384 approaches to understanding patterns and processes. In Esch, G. W.; Busch, A.
385 O. and Aho, J. M. (Eds) *Parasite Communities: Patterns and Processes*. Chapman
386 & Hall, pp.157-195.
- 387 Alcantara, E.P., Ferreira-Silva, C., Silva, L.A.F., Lins, A.G.S., Ávila, R.W., Morais, D.H.,
388 & Da Silva, R.J. (2018). Helminths of *Dermatonotus muelleri* (Anura: Microhylidae)
389 from Northeastern Brazil. *Journal of Parasitology*, 104, 550-556.
- 390 Amato, J.F.R., Boeger, W.A., & Amato, S.B. (1991). *Protocolos para laboratório-coleta*
391 *e processamento de parasitos de pesca*. Imprensa Universitária, Universidade
392 Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro.
- 393 Amorim, D.M., Oliveira, R.H., Dyna, C.S., Sousa, D.M., Santos, M.E.P., Lima, L.S., Pinto,
394 L.C., & Ávila, R.W. (2019). Nematodes parasites of *Rhinella jimi* (Stevaux, 2002)
395 (Anura: Bufonidae) in areas of Caatinga, Northeastern Brazil. *Neotropical*
396 *Helminthology*, 13, 265-271.
- 397 Anderson, R.C. (2000). *Nematode parasites of vertebrates, their development and*
398 *transmission*. 2° Ed. CABI Publishing.
- 399 Benício, R.A., dos Santos, R.S., Freire, S.M., Ávila, R.W., da Silva, R.J., & Fonseca,
400 M.G. (2022). Diversity of helminth parasites in amphibians from northeastern
401 Brazil. *Biologia*, 77, 2571-2579.

402 Bentz, S., Sinnappah-Kang, N.D., Lim, L.H.S., Lebedev, B., Combes, C., & Verneau, O.
403 (2006). Historical biogeography of amphibian parasites, genus *Polystoma*
404 (Monogenea: Polystomatidae). *Journal of Biogeography*, 33, 742-749.

405 Berkhout, B.W., Borregaard, M.K., Brandl, R., Brändle, M., Dehling, D.M., Hof, C., Poulin,
406 R., & Thielges, D.W. (2019). Host assemblage and environment shape β -diversity
407 of freshwater parasites across diverse taxa at a continental scale. *Global Ecology*
408 *and Biogeography*, 29, 38-40.

409 Bittencourt, E.B., & Rocha, C.F.D. (2003). Host-ectoparasite specificity in a small
410 mammal community in an area of Atlantic Rain Forest (Ilha Grande, State of Rio
411 de Janeiro), Southeastern Brazil. *Memórias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz*, 98, 793-
412 798.

413 Bower, D.S., Brannelly, L.A., McDonald, C., Webb, R.J., Greenspan, S.E., Vickers, M.,
414 Gardner, M.G., & Greenlees, M.J. (2019). A review of the role of parasites in the
415 ecology of reptiles and amphibians. *Austral Ecology*, 44, 433-448.

416 Brito, S.V., Ferreira, F.S., Ribeiro, S.C., Anjos, L.A., Almeida, W.O., Mesquita, D.O., &
417 Vasconcellos, A. (2014). Spatial-temporal variation of parasites in *Cnemidophorus*
418 *ocellifer* (Teiidae) and *Tropidurus hispidus* and *Tropidurus semitaeniatus*
419 (Tropiduridae) from Caatinga areas in northeastern Brazil. *Parasitology Research*,
420 113, 1163-1169.

421 Brooks, D.R., & Hoberg, E.P. (2000). Triage for the Biosphere: The Need and Rationale
422 for Taxonomic Inventories and Phylogenetic Studies of Parasites. *Comparative*
423 *Parasitology*, 67, 1-25.

424 Bush, A.O., Lafferty, K.D., Lotz, J.M., & Shostak, A.W. (1997). Parasitology meets
425 ecology on its own terms: Margolis et al. revisited. *Journal of Parasitology*, 83, 575-
426 583.

427 Campião, K.M., Morais, D.H., Dias, O.T., Aguiar, A., Toledo, G., Tavares, L.E.R., & Silva,
428 R.J. (2014). Checklist of helminth parasites of amphibians from South America.
429 *Zootaxa*, 3843, 1-93.

430 Campiao, K.M., Ribas, A.C.D.A., Morais, D.H., Dias, O.T., Silva, R.J., & Tavares, L.E.R.
431 (2015b). How many parasites species a frog might have? Determinants of parasite
432 diversity in South American anurans. *PLOS ONE*, 10, e0140577.

433 Campião, K.M., Ribas, A.C.A., Silva, I.C.O., Dalazen, G.T., & Tavares, L.E.R. (2016b).
434 Anuran helminth communities from contrasting nature reserve and pasture sites in
435 the Pantanal wetland, Brazil. *Journal of Helminthology*, 91, 91-96.

436 Campião, K.M., Ribas, A., & Tavares, L.E.R. (2015a). Diversity and patterns of
437 interaction of an anuran-parasite network in a neotropical wetland. *Parasitology*,
438 142, 1751-1757.

439 Campião, K.M., Silva, I.C.O., Dalazen, G.T., Paiva, F., & Tavares, L.E.R. (2016a).
440 Helminth parasites of 11 anuran species from the Pantanal Wetland, Brazil.
441 *Comparative parasitology*, 83, 92-100.

442 Cañizales, I. (2021). Helminths endoparasites in anuros de Venezuela: revisión
443 sistemática y análisis de diversidad. *Acta Biologica Venezuelica*, 40, 109-127.

444 Cardoso, T.D.S., Simões, R.O., Luque, J.L.F., Maldonado, A., & Gentile, R. (2016). The
445 influence of habitat fragmentation on helminth communities in rodent populations
446 from a Brazilian Mountain Atlantic Forest. *Journal of Helminthology*, 90, 460-468.

447 Chandra, P., & Gupta, N. (2007). Habitat preference and seasonal fluctuations in the
448 helminthofauna of amphibian hosts of Rohilkhand Zone, India. *Asian Journal of*
449 *Experimental Science*, 21, 69-78.

450 Chaukulkar, S., Sulaeman, H., Zink, A.G., & Vredenburg, V.T. (2018). Pathogen invasion
451 and non-epizootic dynamics in Pacific newts in California over the last century.
452 *PLOS ONE*, 13, e0197710.

453 Coimbra, M.A.A., Mascarenhas, C.S., Henzel, A.B.D., Wolter, J.H., da Silva, R.R.C., da
454 Silveira, F.L., & Müller, G. (2023). Parasite-host relations and new reports of
455 helminths for *Rhinella dorbignyi* (Duméril & Bibron, 1841) (Anura: Bufonidae) from
456 Neotropical region. *Parasitology International*, 96, 102766.

457 Cramp, R.L., & Franklin, C.E. (2018). Exploring the link between ultraviolet B radiation
458 and immune function in amphibians: implications for emerging infectious diseases.
459 *Conservation physiology*, 6, coy035.

460 Della-Fávera J.C. (2002). Parque Nacional de Sete Cidades, PI: Magnífico monumento
461 natural. In: Schobbenhaus, C., Campos, D.A., Queiroz, E.T., Winge, M., & Berbert-
462 Born, M.L.C. (eds.) *Sítios Geológicos e Paleontológicos do Brasil*, 1ª ed.
463 DNPM/CPRM, Brasília, pp 335-342

464 Ebert, D., & Fields, P.D. (2020). Host-parasite co-evolution and its genomic signature.
465 *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 21, 754-768.

466 Felix-Nascimento, G., Vieira, F.M., Muniz-Pereira, L.C., & Moura, G.J.B. (2020). Two
467 new species of Cosmocercidae (Nematoda: Cosmocercidae) of *Leptodactylus*
468 *macrosternum* Miranda-Ribeiro (Anura: Leptodactylidae) from caatinga biome,
469 Brazil. *Zootaxa*, 4877, 274-290.

470 Goater, C.P., Baldwin, R.E., & Scrimgeour, G.J. (2005). Physico-chemical determinants
471 of helminth component community structure in whitefish (*Coregonus*
472 *clupeaformis*) from adjacent lakes in Northern Alberta, Canada. *Parasitology*, 131,
473 713-722.

474 Goldberg, S.R., Bursley, C.R., Caldwell, J.P., Vitt, L.J., & Costa, G.C. (2007).
475 Gastrointestinal helminths from six species of frogs and three species of lizards,
476 sympatric in Pará State, Brazil. *Comparative Parasitology*, 74, 327-342.

477 González, C.E., & Hamann, M.I. (2013). First record of *Brevimulticaecum* larvae
478 (Nematoda, Heterocheilidae) in amphibians from northern Argentina. *Brazilian*
479 *Journal of Biology*, 73, 451-452.

480 Graça, R.J., Oda, F.H., Lima, F.S., Guerra, V., Gambale, P.G., & Takemoto, R.M. (2017).
481 Metazoan endoparasites of 18 anuran species from the mesophytic
482 semideciduous Atlantic Forest in southern Brazil. *Journal of Natural History*, 51,
483 705-729.

484 Herczeg, D., Ujszegi, J., Kásler, A., Holly, D., & Hettyey, A. (2021). Host–multiparasite
485 interactions in amphibians: a review. *Parasites & Vectors*, 14, 1-20.

486 Hernandez-Caballero, I., Garcia-Longoria, L., Gomez-Mestre, I., & Marzal, A. (2022).
487 The adaptive host manipulation hypothesis: parasites modify the behaviour,
488 morphology, and physiology of amphibians. *Diversity*, 14, 739.

489 Heyer, W.R., Donnelly, M.A., McDiarmid, R.W., Hayek, L.A.C., & Foster, M.S. (1994).
490 *Measuring and monitoring biological diversity: standard methods for amphibians*.
491 Smithsonian Institution Press.

492 Hua, J., Wuerthner, V.P., Jones, D.K., Mattes, B., Cothran, R.D., Relyea, R.A., &
493 Hoverman, J.T. (2017). Evolved pesticide tolerance influences susceptibility to
494 parasites in amphibians. *Evolutionary Applications*, 10, 802-812.

495 Hudson, P. (2005). Parasites, diversity, and the ecosystem. In: Thomas, F., Reanud,
496 T.F., & Guegan, J.F. (Eds). *Parasitism and Ecosystems*. Oxford University Press,
497 New York, pp 1-12.

498 Ivanov, M.M.M., & Lemos, J.R. (2020). *Unidades de conservação do estado do Piauí*.
499 EDUFPI.

500 Koprivnikar, J., Marcogliese, D.J., Rohr, J.R., Orlofske, S.A., Raffel, T.R., & Johnson,
501 P.T. (2012). Macroparasite infections of amphibians: what can they tell us?
502 *EcoHealth*, 9, 342-360.

503 Kuris, A.M. (2008). Ecosystem energetic implications of parasite and free-living biomass
504 in three estuaries. *Nature*, 454, 515-518.

505 Lopes, S.D.F., Vale, V.D., Oliveira, A.D., & Schiavini, I. (2011). Comparative analysis of
506 the structure and floristic composition of cerrado vegetation in central Brazil.
507 *Interciencia*, 36, 8-15.

508 Luedtke, J.A., Chanson, J., Neam, K., Hobin, L., Maciel, A.O., Catenazzi, A, ... & Stuart,
509 S.N. (2023). Ongoing declines for the world's amphibians in the face of emerging
510 threats. *Nature*, 622, 308-314.

511 Mascarenhas, W., Oliveira, C.R., Benício, R.A., Ávila, R.W., & Ribeiro, S.C. (2021).
512 Nematodes of *Proceratophrys ararype* (Anura: Odontophrynidae), an endemic frog
513 from the Araripe Plateau, northeastern Brazil. *Biota Neotropica*, 21, e20201164.

514 Mati, V.L.T., & Melo, A.L. (2014). Some aspects of the life history and morphology of
515 *Strongyloides ophidiae* Pereira, 1929 (Rhabditida: Strongyloididae) in *Liophis*
516 *miliaris* (Squamata: Dipsadidae). *Neotropical Helminthology*, 8, 203-216.

517 Morais, D.H. (2013). Aspectos ecológicos da helmintofauna de anfíbios Leptodactylidae
518 (ANURA) no Estado do Mato Grosso, Brasil. *Doctorate thesis*, UNESP—Campus
519 de Botucatu.

520 Mueller, M.I., Morais, D.H., Costa-Silva, G.J., Aguiar, A., Avila, R.W., & Silva, R.J.
521 (2018). Diversity in the genus *Rhabdias* (Nematoda, Rhabdiasidae): evidence for
522 cryptic speciation. *Zoologica Scripta*, 47, 595-607.

523 Oliveira, C.R., Ávila, R.W., & Morais, D.H. (2019). Helminths associated with three
524 *Physalaemus* species (Anura: Leptodactylidae) from Caatinga biome, Brazil. *Acta*
525 *Parasitologica*, 64, 205-212.

526 Oliveira, C.R., Gonçalves-Sousa, J.G., Carvalho, E.F.F., Ávila, R.W., & Borges-Nojosa,
527 D.M. (2023). Effect of altitude and spatial heterogeneity on the host-parasite
528 relationship in anurans from a remnant humid forest in the Brazilian semiarid.
529 *Parasitology Research*, 122, 2651-2666.

530 Oliveira, C.R., Mascarenhas, W., Batista-Oliveira, D., de Castro Araújo, K., Ávila, R.W.,
531 & Borges-Nojosa, D.M. (2022). Endoparasite community of anurans from an
532 altitudinal rainforest enclave in a Brazilian semiarid area. *Journal of Helminthology*,
533 96, e62.

534 Oliveira, M., Castro, A.A.J.F., & Martins, F.R. (2010). *Classificação e caracterização dos*
535 *tipos vegetacionais do Parque Nacional de Sete Cidades, Piauí, Brasil.*
536 Biodiversidade e Ecótonos da Região Setentrional do Piauí. Teresina: Editora
537 Gráfica da UFPI, pp. 66-89.

538 Oliveira, R.J., Mascarenhas, C.S., & Müller, G. (2024). *Centrorhynchus* spp.
539 (Acanthocephala) in South America: new anuran record and checklist of vertebrate
540 hosts. *Revista Brasileira de Parasitologia Veterinária*, 33, e015823.

541 Poulin, R. (2007). Are there general laws in parasite ecology?. *Parasitology*, 134, 763-
542 776.

543 Poulin, R., & Krasnov, B.R. (2010). Similarity and variability of parasite assemblages
544 across geographical space. In. Morand, S., & Krasnov, B.R. (Eds). *The*
545 *biogeography of host–parasite interactions*, OUP. pp. 115-127.

546 Reyda, F.B. (2008). Intestinal helminths of freshwater stingrays in southeastern Peru,
547 and a new genus and two new species of cestode. *Journal of Parasitology*, *94*,
548 684-699.

549 Richardson, D.J., Gardner, S.L., & Allen, J.W. (2014). Redescription of
550 *Oligacanthorhynchus microcephalus* (Rudolphi, 1819) Schmidt 1972 (syn.
551 *Oligacanthorhynchus tortuosa* (Leidy, 1850) Schmidt 1972) (Acanthocephala:
552 Oligacanthorhynchidae). *Comparative Parasitology*, *81*, 53-60.

553 Rohr, J.R., Raffel, T.R., Sessions, S.K., & Hudson, P.J. (2008). Understanding the net
554 effects of pesticides on amphibian trematode infections. *Ecological Applications*,
555 *18*, 1743-1753.

556 Ruggeri, J., Pontes, M.R., Ribeiro, L.P., Gendreau, K.L., Sousa, R.L.M., & Toledo, L.F.
557 (2023). Predominant prevalence of Ranavirus in southern Brazil, a region with
558 widespread occurrence of the amphibian chytrid. *Animal Conservation*, *27*, 338-
559 349.

560 Sani, A.A., Rangel, G.T., Dos Santos, L.C., & Frezza, T.F. (2021). Parasitic helminths of
561 reptiles and amphibians in the state of São Paulo, Brazil. *Interfaces Científicas-
562 Saúde e Ambiente*, *8*, 32-59.

563 Santos, B.R., Teixeira, A.A.M., do Nascimento, J.M., & Brito, S.V. (2024). Pattern of
564 anuran infection by acanthocephalans from the Cerrado, Northeastern Brazil with
565 a summary for South America. *Journal of Helminthology*, *98*, e15.

566 Santos, V.G.T., & Amato, S.B. (2012). *Polystoma cuvieri* (Monogenea, Polystomatidae)
567 in *Physalaemus cuvieri* (Anura, Leiuperidae) in southern Brazil. *Neotropical
568 Helminthology*, *6*, 1-8.

569 Santos, V.G., & Amato, S.B. (2013). Species of *Cosmocerca* (Nematoda,
570 Cosmocercidae) in Anurans from Southern Santa Catarina State, Brazil.
571 *Comparative Parasitology*, *80*, 123-129.

572 Schmidt, G.D. (1986). *CRC handbook of tapeworm identification*. CRC Press.

573 Segalla, M.V., Berneck, B., Canedo, C., Caramaschi, U., Cruz, C.A.G., Garcia, P.C.A.,
574 & Langone, J.A. (2021). Brazilian amphibians: list of species. *Herpetologia
575 Brasileira*, *10*, 121-216.

576 Sena, P.A., Conceição, B.M., Silva, P.F., Silva, W.G.O., Ferreira, W.B., Silva Júnior, V.A.
577 da, Moura, G.J.B., & Oliveira, J.B. (2018). Helminth communities of *Pithecopus
578 nordestinus* (Anura: Phyllomedusidae) in forest remnants, Brazil. *Herpetology
579 Notes*, *11*, 565-572.

580 Silva, C.S., de Alcantara, E.P., da Silva, R.J., Ávila, R.W., & Morais, D.H. (2019).
581 Helminths Parasitas de *Proceratophrys Aridus* Cruz, Nunes, and Juncá, 2012

582 (Anura: Odontophrynidae) em uma Região Do Semiárido, Brasil. *Neotropical*
583 *Helminthology*, 13, 169-179.

584 Silva-Neta, A.F.D., de Alcantara, E.P., de Oliveira, C.R., de Carvalho, E.F.F., Moraes,
585 D.H., da Silva, R.J., & Ávila, R.W. (2020). Helminths associated with 15 species of
586 anurans from the Ibiapaba Plateau, northeastern Brazil. *Neotropical*
587 *Helminthology*, 14, 197-206.

588 Sinnappah, N.D., Lim, L.H.S., Rohde, K., Tinsley, R., Combes, C., & Verneau, O. (2001).
589 A pedomorphic parasite associated with a neotenic amphibian host: phylogenetic
590 evidence suggests a revised systematic position for Sphyrnidae within anuran
591 and turtle polystomatoineans. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 18, 189-
592 201.

593 Smales, L.R. (2007). *Acanthocephala* in amphibians (Anura) and reptiles (Squamata)
594 from Brazil and Paraguay with description of a new species. *Journal of*
595 *Parasitology*, 93, 392-398.

596 Sulieman, Y., Afifi, A., Awad, H.M., & Pengsakul, T. (2015). Helminth parasites of the
597 subdesert toad, *Amietophrynus* (Bufo) *xeros* (Anura: Bufonidae). *International*
598 *Journal of Research–Granthaalayah*, 3, 75-83.

599 Teles, D.A., Sousa, J.G.G., Teixeira, A.A.M., Silva, M.C., Oliveira, R.H., Silva, M.R.M.,
600 & Ávila, R.W. (2015). Helminths of the frog *Pleurodema diplolister* (Anura,
601 Leiuperidae) from the Caatingain Pernambuco State, Northeast Brazil. *Brazilian*
602 *Journal of Biology*, 75, 251-253.

603 Toledo, G.M., Aguiar, A., Silva, R.J., & Anjos, L.A. (2013). Helminth fauna of two species
604 of *Physalaemus* (Anura: Leiuperidae) from an undisturbed fragment of the Atlantic
605 Rainforest, Southeastern Brazil. *The Journal of Parasitology*, 99, 919-922.

606 Toledo, G.M., Fonseca, M.G., Iannaccone, J., Cárdenas-Callirgos, J., Vidaurre, C.M., &
607 da Silva, R.J. (2017). Helmintos parásitos de *Rhinella marina* (Linnaeus, 1758)
608 (Anura: Bufonidae) de tarapoto, Perú. *The Biologist* (Lima), 15, 459-468.

609 Vicente, J.J., Rodrigues, H.O., Gomes, D.C., & Pinto, R.M. (1991). Nematóides do Brasil,
610 2ª parte: Nematóides de anfíbios. *Revista Brasileira de Zoologia*, 7, 549-626.

611 Vieira, E.F., Lima, V.D., Félix, A.J.S., Costa, M.A.T., de Moura Pires, S., Santos, B.M.R.,
612 Freire, S., & de Andrade, E.B. (2021). Fauna parasitária de *Leptodactylus*
613 *macrosternum* (Anura: Leptodactylidae) no município de União-PI. *Brazilian*
614 *Journal of Development*, 7, 49679-49692.

615 Vieira, K.R.I., Vicentin, W., Paiva, F., Pozo, C.F., Borges, F.A., Adriano, E.A., Costa,
616 F.E.S., & Tavares, L.E.R. (2010). *Brevimulticaecum* sp. (Nematoda:
617 Heterocheilidae) larvae parasitic in freshwater fish in the Pantanal wetland, Brazil.
618 *Veterinary Parasitology*, 172, 350-354.

619 Yamaguti, S. (1963). *Systema Helminthum: Acanthocephala*. Interscience Publishers.
620 Yamaguti, S. (1971). *Systema Helminthum - Trematodes*. Vol. I. Interscience Publishers.
621 Received February 2, 2025.
622 Accepted February 22, 2025.
623

ASAP

Table 1. List of endoparasites found in anuran hosts of the Sete Cidades National Park (PNSC), Piauí state, northeastern Brazil, and indication of new infection records. N = number of hosts; NH = number of parasites; P% = prevalence; MII + R = mean intensity of infection + range; AB + SE = abundance + standard error.

Host (N)	Parasite (NH)	P%	MI + A	AB + SE	Reference
Bufonidae					
<i>Rhinella diptycha</i> (18)		66.67	16.17 (1 - 84)	10.78 + 0.56	
	<i>Aplectana hylambatis</i> (2)	5.56	2	0.11	New record
	<i>Ochoterenella</i> sp. (1)	5.56	1	0.06	Aguiar <i>et al.</i> (2021); Benício <i>et al.</i> (2022)
	<i>Oswaldocruzia mazzai</i> (157)	22.22	39.25 (5 - 84)	8.72 + 3.29	Aguiar <i>et al.</i> (2021); Oliveira <i>et al.</i> (2022)
	<i>Physaloptera</i> sp. (2)	11.11	1	0.11	Amorim <i>et al.</i> (2019); Oliveira <i>et al.</i> (2022)
	<i>Raillietnema spectans</i> (5)	5.56	5	0.27	Amorim <i>et al.</i> (2019); Oliveira <i>et al.</i> (2022)
	<i>Rhabdias</i> sp. (12)	5.56	12	0.67	Amorim <i>et al.</i> (2019); Aguiar <i>et al.</i> (2021); Oliveira <i>et al.</i> (2022)
	Cosmocercidae female (13)	38.89	1.86 (1 - 3)	0.72 + 0.18	-
	Ascarididae larva (4)	5.56	4	0.22	-
Hylidae					
<i>Boana raniceps</i> (4)		50	3 (1 - 5)	1.5 + 0.87	
	<i>Physalopteroides venancioi</i> (6)	50	3 (1 - 5)	1.5 + 0.87	Campião <i>et al.</i> (2016a); Oliveira <i>et al.</i> (2022)

<i>Dendropsophus minusculus</i> (14)		14.29	4 (1 - 6)	0.57 + 0.09	
	<i>Brevimulticaecum</i> sp. (6)	7.14	6	0.42	New record
	<i>Physocephalus</i> sp. (1)	7.14	1	0.07	New record
	<i>Centrorhynchus</i> sp. (1)	7.14	1	0.07	Oliveira <i>et al.</i> (2022)
<i>Dendropsophus minutus</i> (33)		3.03	4 (1 - 3)	0.12	
	<i>Centrorhynchus</i> sp. (3)	3.03	3	0.09	Oliveira <i>et al.</i> (2022)
	Nematoda larva (1)	3.03	1	0.03	-
<i>Dendropsophus soaresi</i> (3)	Not parasitized				
<i>Scinax fuscomarginatus</i> (29)		17.24	4.8 (1 - 8)	0.83 + 0.34	
	<i>Cosmocerca podicipinus</i> (1)	3.45	1	0.03	Campião <i>et al.</i> (2014)
	<i>Centrorhynchus</i> sp. (23)	13.79	5.75 (5 - 8)	0.79 + 0.68	Aguiar <i>et al.</i> (2021)
<i>Scinax nebulosus</i> (3)		33.33	1	0.33	
	<i>Centrorhynchus</i> sp. (1)	33.33	1	0.33	Campião <i>et al.</i> (2014)
<i>Scinax similis</i> (32)		15.63	3.4 (1 - 5)	0.53 + 0.09	
	<i>Oxyascaris caudacutus</i> (6)	9.38	2 (1 - 4)	0.19 + 0.06	New record
	Cosmocercidae female (11)	9.38	3.67 (2 - 5)	0.34 + 0.11	-
<i>Scinax x-signatus</i> (6)		33.33	1.5 (1 - 2)	0.50 + 0.17	
	<i>Ochoterenella</i> sp. (2)	16.67	2	0.33	New record
	Cosmocercidae female (1)	16.67	1	0.16	-
Leptodactylidae					
<i>Adenomera juiquitam</i> (3)		33.33	1	0.33	
	<i>Centrorhynchus</i> sp. (1)	33.33	1	0.33	New record
<i>Leptodactylus fuscus</i> (31)		90.32	46.07 (1 - 216)	41.61 + 1.12	
	<i>Cosmocerca parva</i> (14)	3.23	14	0.45	Morais (2013); Campião <i>et al.</i> (2014)
	<i>Cosmocerca podicipinus</i> (21)	12.9	5.25 (1 - 16)	0.68 + 0.21	Campião <i>et al.</i> (2014)
	<i>Oswaldocruzia mazzai</i> (3)	3.23	3	0.1	Campião <i>et al.</i> (2014)

	<i>Oxyascaris catingae</i> (17)	12.9	4.25 (2 - 8)	0.55 + 0.17	New record
	<i>Physaloptera</i> sp. (7)	9.68	2.33 (2 - 3)	0.23 + 0.07	Morais (2013); Oliveira <i>et al.</i> (2022)
	<i>Physalopteroides venancioi</i> (2)	3.23	2	0.06	Campião <i>et al.</i> (2016a)
	<i>Porrocaecum</i> sp. (2)	3.23	2	0.06	Campião <i>et al.</i> (2016a)
	<i>Raillietnema spectans</i> (264)	22.58	37.71 (16 - 57)	8.52 + 2.37	Silva-Neta <i>et al.</i> (2020); Oliveira <i>et al.</i> (2022)
	<i>Rhabdias</i> sp. (3)	3.23	3	0.1	Cañizales (2021); Oliveira <i>et al.</i> (2022)
	<i>Schrankiana schranki</i> (926)	48.39	61.73 (2 - 216)	29.87 + 6.40	Morais (2013); Oliveira <i>et al.</i> (2022)
	Cosmocercidae female (30)	19.35	4.83 (1 - 16)	0.94 + 0.28	-
	Cosmocercidae larva (3)	6.45	1.50 (1 - 2)	0.1	-
<i>Leptodactylus macrosternum</i> (7)		100	19.14 (1 - 27)	19.14 + 1.09	
	<i>Aplectana hylambatis</i> (5)	14.29	5	0.71	New record
	<i>Brevimulticaecum</i> sp. (15)	28.57	7.5 (2 - 13)	2.14 + 1.17	Goldberg <i>et al.</i> (2007)
	<i>Cosmocerca podicipinus</i> (15)	28.57	7.5 (3 - 12)	2.14 + 1.16	Campião <i>et al.</i> (2014)
	<i>Oswaldocruzia mazzai</i> (4)	14.29	4	0.57	Silva-Neta <i>et al.</i> (2020); Oliveira <i>et al.</i> (2022)
	<i>Oxyascaris</i> sp. (2)	14.29	2	0.29	New record
	<i>Physalopteroides venancioi</i> (37)	71.42	7.4 (2 - 10)	5.28 + 1.49	Morais (2013); Campião <i>et al.</i> (2016a)
	<i>Raillietnema spectans</i> (27)	14.29	27	3.85	New record
	Cosmocercidae female (4)	28.57	2	0.57	-
	<i>Centrorhynchus</i> sp. (2)	14.29	2	0.29	Campião <i>et al.</i> (2014)
	<i>Oligacanthorhynchus</i> sp. (23)	14.29	23	3.28	New record
<i>Leptodactylus mystaceus</i> (4)		75	4 (2 - 8)	3 + 0.94	

	<i>Cosmocerca podicipinus</i> (10)	50	5 (2 - 8)	2.5 + 1.38	New record
	<i>Oxyascaris catिंगae</i> (2)	25	2	0.5	New record
<i>Leptodactylus pustulatus</i> (4)		80	2.5 (1 - 4)	2 + 0.34	
	<i>Cosmocerca podicipinus</i> (3)	20	3	0,6	Campião <i>et al.</i> (2014)
	<i>Physaloptera sp.</i> (1)	20	1	0.2	Morais (2013); Oliveira <i>et al.</i> (2022)
	Nematoda larva (2)	40	1	0.4	-
	<i>Centrorhynchus sp.</i> (4)	20	4	0.8	Oliveira <i>et al.</i> (2024); Santos <i>et al.</i> (2024)
<i>Leptodactylus troglodytes</i> (16)		75	15.75 (1 - 47)	11.81 + 0.61	
	<i>Aplectana hylambatis</i> (13)	6.25	13	0,81	New record
	<i>Cosmocerca podicipinus</i> (35)	25	8.75 (5 - 19)	2.18 + 0.82	New record
	<i>Oxyascaris sp.</i> (2)	6.25	2	0.12	New record
	<i>Raillietnema spectans</i> (94)	31.25	18.8 (5 - 47)	5.87 + 2.04	Oliveira <i>et al.</i> (2022)
	<i>Physaloptera sp.</i> (6)	12.5	3 (1 - 5)	0.37 + 0.16	New record
	<i>Polystoma goeldii</i> (1)	6.25	1	0.06	New record
	Cosmocercidae larva (19)	12.5	9.5 (7 - 12)	1.18 + 0,52	-
	Cosmocercidae female (19)	43.75	2.71 (1 -9)	1.18 + 0.36	-
<i>Leptodactylus vastus</i> (18)		100	331.61 (1 - 654)	331.61 + 12.08	
	<i>Aplectana hylambatis</i> (1533)	38.89	219 (66 - 461)	85.17 + 27.11	New record
	<i>Cosmocerca sp.</i> (49)	5.55	49	2.72	New record
	<i>Oswaldocruzia mazzai</i> (5)	11.11	2.5 (1 - 4)	0.28 + 0.11	Silva-Neta <i>et al.</i> (2020); Oliveira <i>et al.</i> (2022)
	<i>Physaloptera sp.</i> (34)	22.22	8.5 (2 - 19)	1.89 + 0.69	Oliveira <i>et al.</i> (2022)
	<i>Physalopteroides venancioi</i> (1)	5.56	1	0.05	New record
	<i>Raillietnema spectans</i> (2570)	77.78	183.57 (1 - 648)	142.78 + 33.90	Benício <i>et al.</i> (2022); Oliveira <i>et al.</i> (2022)

	<i>Rhabdias</i> sp. (295)	55.56	29.5 (1 - 102)	16.39 + 5.05	Oliveira <i>et al.</i> (2022)
	<i>Schrankiana schranki</i> (1454)	16.67	484.67 (200 - 654)	80.78 + 31.73	Campião <i>et al.</i> (2014); Oliveira <i>et al.</i> (2022)
	Ascarididae larva (1)	5.55	1	0.05	-
	<i>Centrorhynchus</i> sp. (3)	5.55	3	0.17	Oliveira <i>et al.</i> (2022)
	<i>Polystoma goeldii</i> (8)	16.66	2.66 (1 - 6)	0.44 + 0.17	New record
<i>Physalaemus cuvieri</i> (22)		72.73	9.56 (1 - 25)	6.95 + 0.36	
	<i>Cosmocerca podicipinus</i> (139)	59.1	10.70 (1 - 25)	6.31 + 1.27	Oliveira <i>et al.</i> (2022)
	<i>Oswaldocruzia mazzai</i> (1)	4.55	1	0.05	Oliveira <i>et al.</i> (2019)
	<i>Oxyascaris</i> sp. (3)	4.55	3	0.13	New record
	<i>Physalopteroides venancioi</i> (1)	4.55	1	0.05	New record
	<i>Strongyloides</i> sp. (4)	9.1	2 (1 - 3)	0.18	Oliveira <i>et al.</i> (2022)
	Cosmocercidae larva (4)	9.1	2	0.18	-
	Nematoda larva (1)	4.55	1	0.05	-
<i>Pleurodema diplolister</i> (31)		35.48	2.91 (1 - 5)	1.03 + 0.05	
	<i>Ochoterenella</i> sp. (1)	3.23	1	0.03	Silva-Neta <i>et al.</i> (2020)
	<i>Oxyascaris catingae</i> (13)	22.58	1.85 (1 - 4)	0.42 + 0.12	New record
	<i>Physaloptera</i> sp. (6)	9.68	2 (1 - 4)	0.19 + 0.06	New record
	<i>Raillietnema spectans</i> (1)	3.23	1	0.03	Silva-Neta <i>et al.</i> (2020)
	<i>Schrankiana schranki</i> (3)	3.23	3	0.1	New record
	Cosmocercidae female (2)	6.45	1	0.06	-
	<i>Cylindrotaenia americana</i> (6)	6.45	3 (1 - 5)	0.19	Silva-Neta <i>et al.</i> (2020)
<i>Pseudopaludicola mystacalis</i> (34)		32.35	1.82 (1 - 3)	0.59 + 0.04	
	<i>Cosmocerca parva</i> (2)	5.88	1	0.06	New record
	<i>Cosmocerca podicipinus</i> (11)	23.53	1.38 (1 - 3)	0.32 + 0.18	New record
	<i>Oxyascaris</i> sp. (3)	5.88	1.50 (1 - 2)	0.09	New record
	<i>Physaloptera</i> sp. (1)	2.94	1	0.03	Silva-Neta <i>et al.</i> (2020)

<i>Rhabdias</i> sp. (1)	2.94	1	0.03	New record
Cosmocercidae female (1)	2.94	1	0.03	-
<i>Centrorhynchus</i> sp. (1)	2.94	1	0.03	New record

Microhylidae

Elachistocleis piauiensis (3)

Not parasitized

Odontophrynidae

Proceratophrys cristiceps (3)

	33.33	1	0.33	
<i>Cosmocerca</i> sp. (1)	33.33	1	0.33	New record

